

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2017

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION**  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	33-18099-NY (Commission File Number)	11-2873662 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206S, Rye, NY (Address of principal executive offices)	10580-1411 (Zip Code)	

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (888) 743-7577

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 383,038,334 shares of common stock are issued and outstanding as of November 14, 2017.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1.</b> Financial Statements.	1
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016	1
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016	2
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016	3
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.	4
<b>Item 2.</b> Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	14
<b>Item 3.</b> Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	20
<b>Item 4.</b> Controls and Procedures.	21
<b>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 5.</b> Other Information	22
<b>Item 6.</b> Exhibits.	22

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements regarding our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and similar expressions or variations of such words are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not deemed to represent an all-inclusive means of identifying forward-looking statements as denoted in this report. Additionally, statements concerning future matters are forward-looking statements.

Although forward-looking statements in this report reflect the good faith judgment of our management, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known by us. Consequently, forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties and actual results and outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in or anticipated by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences in results and outcomes include, without limitation, those specifically addressed under the headings “Risks Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in this Form 10-Q and in other reports that we file with the SEC. You are urged not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report.

We file reports with the SEC. The SEC maintains a website (www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including us. You can also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You can obtain additional information about the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements in order to reflect any event or circumstance that may arise after the date of this report, except as required by law. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made throughout the entirety of this quarterly report, which are designed to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

#### OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Unless specifically set forth to the contrary, “Quest”, “Company”, “we,” “us,” “our” and similar terms refer to Quest Patent Research Corporation, and its subsidiaries unless the context indicates otherwise.

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Financial Statements

##### QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 142,541	\$ 208,324
Accounts receivable	16,636	54,994
Other current assets	1,957	2,490
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>161,134</b>	<b>265,808</b>
Patents, net of accumulated amortization of \$546,130 and \$319,284, respectively	2,567,768	2,056,947
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,728,902</b>	<b>\$ 2,322,755</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 113,041	\$123, 803
Loans payable – third party	163,000	163,000
Purchase price of patents, current portion, net of unamortized discount of \$15,102 and \$80,528, respectively	1,084,898	919,472
Loan payable – related party, net of unamortized discount and debt issuance costs of \$545,256 and \$616,176, respectively	2,097,809	2,001,889
Accrued interest – loans payable related party	270,043	62,348
Accrued interest - loans payable third party	261,139	248,913
Derivative liability	100,000	140,000
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>4,089,930</b>	<b>3,659,425</b>
Non-current liabilities		
Purchase price of patents, net of unamortized discount of \$191,740 and \$0, respectively	683,260	-
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>4,773,190</b>	<b>3,659,425</b>
Stockholders' deficit:		
Preferred stock – par value \$.00003 per share – authorized 10,000,000 Shares – no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, par value \$0.00003 per share; authorized 10,000,000,000 shares and 1,250,000,000 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively; shares issued and outstanding 313,038,334 and 313,038,334, at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	9,391	9,391
Additional paid-in capital	14,032,882	14,032,882
Accumulated deficit	(16,090,238)	(15,381,430)
<b>Total Quest Patent Research Corporation deficit</b>	<b>(2,047,965)</b>	<b>(1,339,157)</b>
Non-controlling interest in subsidiary	3,677	2,487
<b>Total stockholders' deficit</b>	<b>(2,044,288)</b>	<b>(1,336,670)</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit</b>	<b>\$ 2,728,902</b>	<b>\$ 2,322,755</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
<b>Revenues</b>				
Licensed Sales	\$ 2,846	\$ 5,593	\$ 8,509	\$ 25,450
Patent licensing fees	575,000	375,000	1,196,250	375,000
Management fees	-	325,175	24,302	789,578
	<u>577,846</u>	<u>705,768</u>	<u>1,229,061</u>	<u>1,190,028</u>
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Cost of revenue:				
Cost of sales	377	960	1,449	14,273
Royalties	282,621	336,651	807,614	336,651
Management support services	396	108,625	25,068	805,325
Selling, general and administrative expenses	197,407	190,229	610,278	609,642
	<u>480,801</u>	<u>636,465</u>	<u>1,444,409</u>	<u>1,765,891</u>
Total operating expenses	480,801	636,465	1,444,409	1,765,891
Income (loss) from operations	97,045	69,303	(215,348)	(575,863)
<b>Other income (expense)</b>				
Loss (gain) on derivative	(40,000)	-	40,000	-
Interest expense	(194,833)	(74,333)	(426,860)	(229,712)
<b>Total Other income and (expense)</b>	<u>(234,833)</u>	<u>(74,333)</u>	<u>(386,860)</u>	<u>(229,712)</u>
Net loss before income tax	(137,788)	(5,030)	(602,208)	(805,575)
Income tax	(170)	-	(105,410)	(2,150)
Net loss	(137,958)	(5,030)	(707,618)	(807,725)
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	21	240	(1,190)	45
Net loss attributable to Quest Patent Research Corporation	<u>\$ (137,937)</u>	<u>\$ (4,790)</u>	<u>\$ (708,808)</u>	<u>\$ (807,680)</u>
Earnings (loss) per share basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic and diluted	<u>313,038,334</u>	<u>313,038,334</u>	<u>313,038,334</u>	<u>313,038,334</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2017	2016
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (707,618)	\$ (807,725)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Amortization of debt discounts	147,128	123,738
Loss on monetization agreement	59,811	-
Gain on derivative	(40,000)	-
Interest accrued but not paid	207,695	105,974
Depreciation and amortization	226,846	197,478
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	38,358	(67,755)
Other current assets	533	3,565
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,464	145,789
<b>Net cash used in operating activities</b>	<u>(65,783)</u>	<u>(298,936)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from loans-related party	-	125,000
<b>Net cash provided (used) by financing activities</b>	<u>-</u>	<u>125,000</u>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<u>(65,783)</u>	<u>(173,936)</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</b>	<u>208,324</u>	<u>331,506</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</b>	<u>\$ 142,541</u>	<u>\$ 157,570</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid during the year for:		

Income taxes	4,160	2,150
Interest	-	-
<b>Non-cash investing and financing activity:</b>		
Loan proceeds paid directly from lender to seller of patents	25,000	1,000,000
Accounts payable for purchase of patent, net of imputed interest of \$202,522	772,478	-

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

**NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The Company is a Delaware corporation, incorporated on July 17, 1987 and has been engaged in the intellectual property monetization business since 2008.

As used herein, the “Company” refers to Quest Patent Research Corporation and its wholly and majority-owned and controlled operating subsidiaries unless the context indicates otherwise. All intellectual property acquisition, development, licensing and enforcement activities are conducted by the Company’s wholly and majority-owned and controlled operating subsidiaries.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the US (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, these interim financial statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. All adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company’s consolidated financial position have been included. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. Operating results for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year.

**NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Principles of consolidation and financial statement presentation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“US GAAP”) and present the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned and majority owned subsidiaries as of September 30, 2017.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of:

- Quest Patent Research Corporation (“the Company”)
- Quest Licensing Corporation (NY) (wholly-owned)
- Quest Licensing Corporation (DE) (wholly- owned)
- Quest Packaging Solutions Corporation (90% owned)
- Quest Nettech Corporation (wholly-owned)
- Semcon IP, Inc. (wholly-owned)
- Mariner IC, Inc. (wholly-owned)
- IC Kinetics, Inc. (wholly-owned)
- CXT Systems, Inc. (wholly-owned)

The operations of Wynn Technologies Inc. are not included in the Company’s consolidated financial statements as there are significant contingencies related to its control of Wynn Technologies Inc. The sole asset of Wynn Technologies Inc. is US Patent No. RE38,137E. Wynn Technologies Inc. cannot transfer, assign, sell, hypothecate or otherwise encumber US Patent No. RE38,173E without the express written consent of Sol Li, owner of 35% of Wynn Technologies Inc., unless, as of the date of such transfer, assignment, sale, hypothecation or other encumbrance, Mr. Li has received a total of at least \$250,000.

The Company accounts for its 65% interest in Wynn Technologies, Inc. under the equity method whereby the investment accounts are increased for contributions by the Company plus its 60% share of income pursuant to the contractual agreement which provide that Sol Li retains 40% of the income, and reduced for distributions and its 60% share of losses incurred, respectively, with the restriction whereby the account balances cannot go below zero.

Significant intercompany transaction and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents which are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or statutory lives whichever is shorter and are reviewed for impairment upon any triggering event that may give rise to the assets ultimate recoverability as prescribed under the guidance related to impairment of long-lived assets. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent.

Patents include the cost of patents or patent rights (hereinafter, collectively “patents”) acquired from third-parties or acquired in connection with business combinations. Patent acquisition costs are amortized utilizing the straight-line method over their remaining economic useful lives, ranging from one to ten years. Certain patent application and prosecution costs incurred to secure additional patent claims, that based on management’s estimates are deemed to be recoverable, are capitalized and amortized over the remaining estimated economic useful life of the related patent portfolio.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company evaluates the embedded conversion feature within its convertible debt instruments under ASC 815-15 and ASC 815-40 to determine if the conversion

feature meets the definition of a liability and, if so, whether to bifurcate the conversion feature and account for it as a separate derivative liability. For derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as liabilities, the derivative instrument is initially recorded at its fair value and is then re-valued at each reporting date, with changes in the fair value reported in the statements of operations. For stock-based derivative financial instruments, the Company uses a Black Scholes model, in accordance with ASC 815-15 "Derivative and Hedging" to value the derivative instruments at inception and on subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months after the balance sheet date.

#### Fair value of financial instruments

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy is used which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. See Note 4 for information about derivative liabilities.

The fair value hierarchy based on the three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

*Level 1* – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

*Level 2* – Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

5

*Level 3* – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

The carrying value reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and short-term borrowings approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

#### Income Tax

The Company records revenues on a gross basis, before deduction for income taxes. The Company incurred foreign income tax expenses of approximately \$170 and approximately \$105,000 for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2017. The Company did not incur foreign income tax expense in the comparable period in 2016.

#### Inventor/Former Owner Royalties and Contingent Legal/Litigation Finance Expenses

In connection with the investment in certain patents and patent rights, certain of the Company's operating subsidiaries may execute related agreements which grant to the inventors and/or former owners of the respective patents or patent rights, the right to receive a percentage of future net revenues (as defined in the respective agreements) generated as a result of licensing and otherwise enforcing the respective patents or patent portfolios.

The Company's operating subsidiaries may retain the services of law firms that specialize in patent licensing and enforcement and patent law in connection with their licensing and enforcement activities. These law firms may be retained on a contingent fee basis whereby such law firms are paid a percentage of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments awarded.

The Company's operating subsidiaries may engage with funding sources that specialize in providing financing for patent licensing and enforcement. These litigation finance firms may be engaged on a non-recourse basis whereby such litigation finance firms are paid a percentage of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments awarded in exchange for providing funding for legal fees and out of pocket expenses incurred as a result of the licensing and enforcement activities.

The economic terms of the inventor agreements, operating agreements, contingent legal fee arrangements and litigation financing agreements associated with the patent portfolios owned or controlled by the Company's operating subsidiaries, if any, including royalty rates, contingent fee rates and other terms, vary across the patent portfolios owned or controlled by such operating subsidiaries. Inventor/former owner royalties, payments to non-controlling interests, contingent legal fees expenses and litigation finance expenses fluctuate period to period, based on the amount of revenues recognized each period, the terms and conditions of revenue agreements executed each period and the mix of specific patent portfolios with varying economic terms and obligations generating revenues each period. Inventor/former owner royalties, contingent legal fees expenses and litigation finance expenses will continue to fluctuate and may continue to vary significantly period to period, based primarily on these factors.

#### Going Concern

As shown in the accompanying financial statements, the Company has an accumulated deficit of approximately \$16,090,000 and negative working capital of approximately \$3,929,000 as of September 30, 2017. Because of the Company's continuing losses, the working capital deficiency, the uncertainty of future revenue, the Company's low stock price and the absence of a trading market in its common stock, the ability of the Company to raise funds in equity market or from lenders is severely impaired. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Although the Company may seek to raise funds and to obtain third party funding for litigation to enforce its intellectual property rights, the availability of such funds is uncertain. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

6

### **NOTE 3 – SHORT-TERM DEBT AND LONG-TERM LIABILITIES**

The following table shows the Company's short-term and long-term debt at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

	<u>September 30, 2017</u>	<u>December 31, 2016</u>
Short-term debt:		
Loans payable – third party	<u>\$ 163,000</u>	<u>\$ 163,000</u>
Loan payable – related party		
Gross	2,643,065	2,618,065
Accrued Interest	270,043	62,348

Unamortized discount	(545,256)	(616,176)
Net loans payable – related party	\$ 2,367,852	\$ 2,064,237
Long-term liabilities:		
Purchase price of patents		
Gross	875,000	-
Unamortized discount	(191,740)	-
Net purchase price of patents – long-term	\$ 683,260	\$ -

#### Short-term debt

The loan payable – third party is a demand loan made by former officers and directors, who are unrelated third parties at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, in the amount of \$163,000. The loans are payable on demand plus accrued interest at 10% per annum. These third parties are also shareholders, but their stockholdings are not significant.

The loan payable – related party at September 30, 2017 represents the principal amount of the Company’s 10% note to United Wireless Holdings, Inc. (“United Wireless”) in the amount of \$2,643,065 pursuant to securities purchase agreement dated October 22, 2015 more fully described in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. On March 16, 2017, the Company received a letter from counsel to United Wireless claiming that the Company is in violation of the requirements of the registration rights agreement dated October 22, 2015 on the grounds that the Company did not update the registration statement in November 2016. On June 12, 2017, the Company entered into a standstill agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which the Company agreed (i) to increase its authorized common stock to 10,000,000,000 shares, (ii) to file by June 30, 2017, a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the sale of the shares of common stock initially issued to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement and the shares of common stock issuable upon the option granted to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase agreement, (iii) if the existing warrant held by the Company’s chief executive officer is not exercised prior to its expiration date, any re-issuance will not have an exercise price less than the current exercise price and the existing warrants will not be amended to lower the exercise price, and (iv) United Wireless no longer has any obligation to purchase any note pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement other than the \$1,000,000 note related to the final payment to Intellectual Ventures, except in connection with the potential acquisition by the Company of patent rights which would trigger a \$25,000 working capital loan in connection with the potential acquisition and require United Wireless to make \$125,000 working capital loans to the Company, at the Company’s sole discretion, on December 31, 2017, March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018 pursuant to securities purchase agreement dated October 22, 2015 more fully described in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 and, in such event, United Wireless would have a 7½ % net proceeds percentage interest in the net proceeds from such patent. On June 15, 2017, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation to increase its authorized common stock to 10,000,000,000 shares. On June 30, 2017, the Company filed a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the sale of the shares of common stock initially issued to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement and the shares of common stock issuable upon the option granted to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase agreement. The registration statement went effective on July 6, 2017. The Company issued to United Wireless a 10% promissory note due September 30, 2020 in the principal amount of \$25,000 pursuant to the standstill agreement, for which the Company received \$25,000, which was used to make the \$25,000 advance to IV 34/37 referred to in the following paragraph. In connection with the standstill agreement, the Company entered into a monetization agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay United Wireless 7.5% of the net monetization proceeds from the patents acquired by CXT. This obligation was recorded as an expense and is reflected in interest expenses. CXT’s obligations under the monetization proceeds agreement are secured by a security interest in the proceeds (from litigation or otherwise) from the CXT Portfolio. The security interest in the proceeds from the CXT Portfolio is junior to the security interest held by IV 34/37 in the CXT Portfolio and proceeds thereof. The note payable to United Wireless has been classified as a current liability as of December 31, 2016. Because of its stock ownership in the Company and its right to elect a director of the Company, United Wireless is treated as a related party. Prior to the purchase by United Wireless of debt and equity on October 22, 2015 pursuant to the securities purchase agreement dated such date, the Company had no relationship with United Wireless.

7

#### Long term liabilities

The purchase price of patents at September 30, 2017 represents the non-current portion of minimum payments due under the agreement between CXT Systems, Inc. (“CXT”), a wholly owned subsidiary, and Intellectual Ventures Assets 34, LLC and Intellectual Ventures 37, LLC (“IV 34/37”) pursuant to which at closing CXT acquired by assignment all right, title, and interest in a portfolio of fourteen United States patents, five foreign patents and six related applications (the “CXT Portfolio”). Under the agreement, CXT will distribute 50% of net recoveries, as defined, to IV 34/37. CXT advanced \$25,000 to IV 34/37 at closing, and agreed that in the event that, on August 31, 2018, August 31, 2019 and August 31, 2020, cumulative distributions to IV 34/37 total less than \$100,000, \$375,000 and \$975,000, respectively, CXT shall pay the difference necessary to achieve the applicable minimum payment amount within ten days after the applicable date; with any advances being credited toward future distributions to IV 34/36. No affiliate of CXT has guaranteed the minimum payments. CXT’s obligations under the agreement are secured by a security interest in the proceeds (from litigation or otherwise) from the CXT Portfolio.

#### **NOTE 4—DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES**

Because there is not a fixed conversion price, the ability of the Company to remain compliant with the requirement under the United Wireless notes that the Company have sufficient authorized common stock in the event that the notes become convertible is outside of the control of the Company. Although there is a limit on the number of shares issuable under the note, absent an increase in the stock price or an increase in authorized shares, it is possible the Company will not have enough authorized shares to satisfy the exercise of the options, which was the case during a portion of the nine months ended September 30, 2017. Thus, the Company determined the options qualify as derivative liabilities under ASC Topic 815. On January 22, 2016, the Company reclassified all non-employee warrants and options as derivative liabilities and revalued them at their fair values at each balance sheet date. Any change in fair value was recorded as other income (expense) for each reporting period at each balance sheet date.

As of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, the aggregate fair value of the outstanding derivative liability was approximately \$100,000 and \$140,000, respectively.

The Company estimated the fair value of the derivative liability using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following key assumptions during the period ended September 30, 2017:

	<b>Period Ended September 30, 2017</b>
Volatility	420 % - 440%
Risk-free interest rate	1.36%
Expected dividends	-%
Expected term	3.00 – 3.75 years

8

The following schedule summarizes the valuation of financial instruments at fair value in the balance sheets as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	Fair Value Measurements as of					
	September 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets</b>						
None	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total assets	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Liabilities</b>						
Option derivative liability	-	-	100,000	-	-	140,000
Total liabilities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 140,000

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of derivative liabilities classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) as of September 30, 2017
Beginning balance	\$ 140,000
Change in fair value	(40,000)
Ending balance	\$ 100,000

#### NOTE 5 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

##### Increase in Authorized Common Stock

On June 15, 2017, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation to increase its authorized common stock to 10,000,000,000 shares.

##### Issuance of Common Stock and Options

As of September 30, 2017, there was no unamortized option expense associated with compensatory options.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options and changes is set forth below:

	Number of Options (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
<b>Balance - December 31, 2016</b>	50,000,000	0.03	3.75
Granted	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
<b>Balance – September 30, 2017</b>	50,000,000	0.03	3.00

9

##### Warrants

A summary of the status of the Company's stock warrants and changes is set forth below:

	Number of Warrants (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
<b>Balance - December 31, 2016</b>	65,000,000	0.004	1.17
Granted	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
<b>Balance – September 30, 2017</b>	65,000,000	0.004	0.42

#### NOTE 6 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets include patents purchased and are recorded based at their acquisition cost. Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	Weighted average amortization period (years)
Patents	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	9.8
Less: net monetization obligations	(509,811)	(450,000)	
Imputed interest	(376,291)	(173,769)	
Subtotal	3,113,898	2,376,231	
Less: accumulated amortization	(546,130)	(319,284)	
Net value of intangible assets	\$ 2,567,768	\$ 2,056,947	8.2

Intangible assets are comprised of patents with estimated useful lives. The intangible assets at September 30, 2017 represent: (1) patents acquired in October 2015 for a purchase price of \$3,000,000 of which \$1,000,000 was paid in October 2015 and \$1,000,000 was paid in September 2016 from the proceeds of the loan from United Wireless, and \$1,000,000 is payable in November 2017; the useful lives of the patents, at the date of purchase, was 6-10 years, and (2) patents acquired in July 2017 for a purchase price of \$1,000,000 of which \$25,000 was paid in July 2017 and in the event that, on August 31, 2018, August 31, 2019 and August 31, 2020, cumulative distributions of 50% of net revenues to IV 34/37 total less than \$100,000, \$375,000 and \$975,000, respectively, CXT shall pay the difference necessary to achieve the applicable minimum payment amount within ten days after the applicable date; with any advances being credited toward future distributions to IV 34/36; the useful lives of the patents, at the date of purchase, was 5-6 years. The Company amortizes the costs of intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent. Amortization of patents is included as a selling, general and administrative expense as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The Company assesses intangible assets for any impairment to the carrying values. As of September 30, 2017, management concluded that there was no impairment to the acquired assets. At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the book value of the Company's intellectual property was \$2,567,768 and \$2,056,947, respectively.

Amortization expense for patents comprised \$226,846 and \$261,784 for the nine-months ended September 30, 2017 and the year ended December 31, 2016, respectively. Future amortization of intangible assets is as follows:

<b>Year ended December 31,</b>	
2017	\$ 104,430
2018	417,720
2019	417,720
2020	417,720
2021 and thereafter	1,210,178
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,567,768</b>

10

Pursuant to the securities purchase agreement dated October 22, 2015 more fully described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, 15% of the proceeds from the patents acquired in October 2015 will be paid to our lender, United Wireless. This monetization obligation was recognized as a discount to the loan and will be amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method. In addition, the Company entered into a monetization agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay United Wireless 7.5% of the net monetization proceeds from the patents acquired by CXT in July 2017. This obligation was recorded as an expense and is reflected in operating expenses.

The Company granted Intellectual Ventures a security interest in the patents assigned to the Company as security for the payment of the balance of the purchase price. The security interest of Intellectual Ventures is senior to the security interest of United Wireless in the proceeds derived from such patents.

The balance of the purchase price of the patents is reflected as follows:

	<b>September 30, 2017</b>	<b>December 31, 2016</b>
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>		
Purchase price of patents, current portion	1,100,000	\$ 1,000,000
Unamortized discount	(15,102)	(80,528)
<b>Non-current liabilities:</b>		
Purchase price of patents, long term	875,000	\$ -
Unamortized discount	(191,740)	-
<b>Total current and non-current</b>	<b>1,768,158</b>	<b>919,472</b>
Effective interest rate of Amortized over 2-3 years		9.2-9.6%

Because the non-current minimum payment obligations of \$875,000 are due over the next three years, the Company imputed interest of 10% and the interest will be accreted up to the maturity date.

#### **NOTE 7 – NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST**

The following table reconciles equity attributable to the non-controlling interest related to Quest Packaging Solutions Corporation.

<b>Balance as of December 31, 2016</b>	\$ 2,487
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	\$ 1,190
<b>Balance as of September 30, 2017</b>	<b>\$ 3,677</b>

#### **NOTE 8 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Company has at various times entered into transactions with related parties, including officers, directors and major stockholders, pursuant to which, these related parties have provided services, advanced or loaned money, or both, to the Company needed to support its daily operations. The Company discloses all related party transactions.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, the Company contracted with an entity owned by the chief technology officer for the provision of information technology services to the Company. The cost of such services was approximately \$205 and \$850 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, and \$600 and \$1800 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively.

11

#### **NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

##### *Employment Agreements*

Pursuant to a restated employment agreement, dated November 30, 2014, with the Company's president and chief executive officer, the Company agreed to employ him as president and chief executive officer for a term of three years, commencing January 1, 2014, and continuing on a year-to-year basis unless terminated by either party on not less than 90 days' notice prior to the expiration of the initial term or any one-year extension. The agreement provides for an initial annual salary of \$252,000, which may be increased, but not decreased, by the board or the compensation committee. In March 2016, the Company's board of directors increased the

chief executive officer's annual salary of \$300,000, effective January 1, 2016. The chief executive officer is entitled to a bonus if we meet or exceed performance criteria established by the compensation committee. In August 2016, the Company's board of directors approved annual bonus compensation equal to 30% of the amount by which our consolidated income before income taxes exceeds \$500,000, but, if the Company is subject to the limitation on deductibility of executive compensation pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the bonus cannot exceed the amount which would be deductible pursuant to Section 162(m). The chief executive officer is also eligible to participate in any executive incentive plans which the Company may adopt.

#### *Inventor Royalties, Contingent Litigation Funding Fees and Contingent Legal Expenses*

In connection with the investment in certain patents and patent rights, certain of the Company's operating subsidiaries executed agreements which grant to the former owners of the respective patents or patent rights, the right to receive inventor royalties based on future net revenues (as defined in the respective agreements) generated as a result of licensing and otherwise enforcing the respective patents or patent portfolios.

The Company's operating subsidiaries may engage third party funding sources to provide funding for patent licensing and enforcement. The agreements with the third-party funding sources may provide that the funding source receive a portion of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments. In certain instances, these third-party funding sources are entitled to receive a significant percentage of any proceeds realized until the third-party funder has recouped agreed upon amounts based on formulas set forth in the underlying funding agreement, which may reduce or delay and proceeds due to the Company.

The Company's operating subsidiaries may retain the services of law firms in connection with their licensing and enforcement activities. These law firms may be retained on a contingent fee basis whereby the law firms are paid on a scaled percentage of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments awarded based on how and when the fees, settlements or judgments are obtained.

Depending on the amount of any recovery, it is possible that all the proceeds from a specific settlement may be paid to the funding source and legal counsel.

The economic terms of the inventor agreements, funding agreements and contingent legal fee arrangements associated with the patent portfolios owned or controlled by the Company's operating subsidiaries, if any, including royalty rates, proceeds sharing rates, contingent fee rates and other terms, vary across the patent portfolios owned or controlled by the operating subsidiaries. Inventor royalties, payments to noncontrolling interests, payments to third party funding providers and contingent legal fees expenses fluctuate period to period, based on the amount of revenues recognized each period, the terms and conditions of revenue agreements executed each period and the mix of specific patent portfolios with varying economic terms and obligations generating revenues each period. Inventor royalties, payments to third party funding sources and contingent legal fees expenses will continue to fluctuate and may continue to vary significantly period to period, based primarily on these factors.

In March 2014, the Company entered into a funding agreement whereby a third party agreed to provide funds to the Company to enable the Company to implement a structured licensing program, including litigation if necessary, for the Mobile Data. Under the funding agreement, the third party receives an interest in the proceeds from the program, and the Company has no other obligation to the third party. In April and June 2014, as part of a structured licensing program for the Mobile Data portfolio, Quest Licensing Corporation brought patent infringement suits in the U.S. District for the District of Delaware against Bloomberg LP et. al., FactSet Research Systems Inc., Interactive Data Corporation, SunGard Data Systems Inc. and The Charles Schwab Corporation et. al. These cases have been consolidated for trial. In June and August 2016, Quest Licensing Corporation entered into a settlement agreement with SunGard Data Systems Inc. and FactSet Research Systems Inc. As of the date of filing the third-party funder has advanced approximately \$3,000,000 in litigation fees, costs and expenses. Under the terms of the funding agreement, the third-party funder is entitled to a priority return of funds advanced from any proceeds recovered. The Company's management fees and management support services expenses relate to this agreement.

#### *Patent Enforcement and Other Litigation*

Certain of the Company's operating subsidiaries are engaged in litigation to enforce their patents and patent rights. In connection with these patent enforcement actions, it is possible that a defendant may request and/or a court may rule that an operating subsidiary has violated statutory authority, regulatory authority, federal rules, local court rules, or governing standards relating to the substantive or procedural aspects of such enforcement actions. In such event, a court may issue monetary sanctions against the Company or its operating subsidiaries or award attorney's fees and/or expenses to a defendant(s), which could be material, and if required to be paid by the Company or its operating subsidiaries, could materially harm the Company's operating results and financial position and could result in a default under the Company's loans. Since the operating subsidiaries do not have any assets other than the patents, and the Company does not have any available financial resources to pay any judgment which a defendant may obtain against a subsidiary, such a judgement may result in the bankruptcy of the subsidiary and/or the loss of the patents, which are the subsidiaries' only assets.

On January 19, 2017, the court in the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation granted the defendants' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement, which Quest Licensing Corporation has appealed. Following the court's decision granting the defendant's motion for summary judgment, the defendants moved for an award of attorneys' fees under Section 285 of the patent act which provides that "the court in exceptional cases may award reasonable attorney fees to the prevailing party." Such a motion, if granted, would result in a judgment against Quest Licensing Corporation, which does not have the financial resources to enable it to pay any judgment which may be rendered against it, and, the defendants may seek to enforce their judgment by seeking to foreclose on the patents owned by the subsidiary or seek to force the subsidiary into bankruptcy and purchase the patents in the bankruptcy proceeding, either of which could result in a default under the Company's agreement with United Wireless. The possible amount of any judgment cannot be estimated and the funding source for the litigation will not provide the Company with funds to pay an adverse judgment. On June 29, 2017, the defendants' motion for attorney fees in the Mobile Data litigation was denied, without prejudice. Defendants may renew their motion thirty days from the decision of the appellate court on Quest Licensing Corporation's appeal.

#### **NOTE 10—SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On November 10, 2017, the board of directors adopted the 2017 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which the Company can issue up to 150,000,000 shares of common stock pursuant to non-qualified stock options, restricted stock grants and other equity-based incentives. On November 10, 2017, the board granted restricted stock grants totaling 70,000,000 shares to its three directors for services rendered to the Company. The right to the shares vested upon the grant. The fair value of the shares will be reflected as a non-cash compensation expense in the fourth quarter of 2017.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

### **Overview**

Our principal operations include the development, acquisition, licensing and enforcement of intellectual property rights that are either owned or controlled by us or one of our wholly owned subsidiaries. We currently own, control or manage eight intellectual property portfolios, which principally consist of patent rights. As part of our intellectual property asset management activities and in the ordinary course of our business, it has been necessary for either us or the intellectual property owner who we represent to initiate, and it is likely to continue to be necessary to initiate patent infringement lawsuits and engage in patent infringement litigation.

We seek to generate revenue from three sources:

- Patent licensing fees relating to our intellectual property portfolio, which includes fees from the licensing of our intellectual property, primarily from litigation relating to enforcement of our intellectual property rights.
- Management fees, which we receive for managing structured licensing programs, including litigation, related to our intellectual property rights. As of September 30, 2017, we did not have any agreements which provide for the payment of management fees beyond reimbursement for payments to third party support service providers which we pay in connection with management of the licensing program.
- Licensed packaging sales, which relate to the sale of licensed products

Because of the nature of our business transactions to date, we recognize revenues from licensing upon execution of a license agreement following settlement of litigation and not over the life of the patent. Thus, we would recognize revenue when we receive the license fee or settlement payment. Although we intend to seek to develop portfolios of intellectual property rights that provide us for a continuing stream of revenue, to date we have not been successful in doing so, and we cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to generate any significant revenue from licenses that provide a continuing stream of revenue. Thus, to the extent that we continue to generate cash from single payment licenses, our revenue can, and is likely to, vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. Our gross profit from license fees reflects any royalties which we pay in connection with our license.

Fees generated in connection with the management of litigation have been paid to us by one of the third-party funding source in support of the litigation seeking to enforce our intellectual property rights. Our agreement with the funding source provides that the funding source pays the litigation costs and provides that this funding source receives a percentage of the recovery, thus reducing our recovery in connection with any settlement of the litigation. As a result, in connection with litigation funded by the third party, we would, if the litigation is successful, receive fees both for managing the litigation, if the agreement with the funding source provides for such payments, and from a license of the intellectual property, which will be net of that portion of the recovery payable to the funding source. To the extent that we have agreements with counsel and/or litigation funding sources pursuant to which payments made to them represent a portion of the gross recovery, and such payment is contingent upon a recovery, our revenue from litigation reflects the gross recovery from litigation as licensing fees, and payments to counsel and/or litigation funding sources are reflected as cost of revenue. Our gross profit from management fees reflects payments to third party support services providers which we pay in connection with management of the licensing program. We no longer receive management fees pursuant to this agreement except for reimbursement for payments to third party support service providers and we do not have any other agreements which provide for the payment to us of management fees.

To a significantly lesser extent, we generate revenue from sale of packaging materials based on our TurtlePak<sup>TM</sup> technology. Our gross profit from sales reflects the cost of contract manufacturing and labor. We did not generate any revenue from the TurtlePak<sup>TM</sup> Portfolio other than from the sale of products using our technology.

Effective with the first quarter of 2018, we will recognize revenue in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 606, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" pursuant to which we will recognize revenue to reflect the transfer of promised goods or services in an amount that reflects the consideration that we would receive for the goods or services. Since our principal source of revenue is patent licensing fees which are paid substantially contemporaneously with the execution of an agreement, we do not believe that our revenue will be materially affected by the change in revenue recognition policy. Since we no longer receive management fees beyond reimbursement for payments to third party service providers in connection with patent litigation services, we have not determined the effect of the new revenue recognition standards will have on our management fees. The balance of our revenue, from sales, is not significant, but we do not believe that this line of revenue will be materially affected by the new revenue recognition standard.

In April 2016, Mariner IC brought patent infringement suits in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against MediaTek Inc., Texas Instruments Incorporated, LG Electronics, Inc., Toshiba Corporation, and Funai Electric Co., Ltd. As of September 30, 2017, all the cases have been settled and the litigation has been dismissed. Our revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 included revenue from these settlements.

On March 16, 2017, we received a letter from counsel to United Wireless claiming that we were in violation of the requirements of the registration rights agreement dated October 22, 2015 on the grounds that we did not update the registration statement in November 2016. We dispute the claim that we violated such agreement. On June 12, 2017, we entered into a standstill agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which we agreed (i) to increase our authorized common stock to 10,000,000,000 shares, (ii) to file by June 30, 2017, a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the sale of the shares of common stock initially issued to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement and the shares of common stock issuable upon the option granted to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase agreement, (iii) if the existing warrant held by the Company's chief executive officer is not exercised prior to its expiration date, any re-issuance will not have an exercise price less than the current exercise price and the existing warrants will not be amended to lower the exercise price, and (iv) United Wireless no longer has any obligation to purchase any note pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement other than the \$1,000,000 note related to the final payment to Intellectual Ventures, except in connection with the potential acquisition by us of a patent portfolio from Intellectual Ventures 34, LLC and Intellectual Ventures 37, LLC ("IV34/37"), which would (x) trigger a \$25,000 working capital loan in connection with such acquisition and (y) require United Wireless to make \$125,000 working capital loans to us, at our discretion, on December 31, 2017, March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018 pursuant to securities purchase agreement dated October 22, 2015 more fully described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. Pursuant to the June 12, 2017 standstill agreement, since United Wireless purchased the \$25,000 note, we granted United Wireless a 7% net proceeds percentage interest in the net proceeds from such patent portfolio.

On June 15, 2017, we amended our certificate of incorporation to increase our authorized common stock to 10,000,000,000 shares. On June 30, 2017, we filed a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the sale of the shares of common stock initially issued to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement and the shares of common stock issuable upon the option granted to United Wireless pursuant to the Securities Purchase agreement. The registration statement was declared effective on July 6, 2017.

On January 19, 2017, the court in the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation granted the defendants' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement, which Quest Licensing Corporation has appealed. Following the court's decision granting the defendant's motion for summary judgment, the defendants moved for an award of attorneys' fees under Section 285 of the patent act which provides that "the court in exceptional cases may award reasonable attorney fees to the prevailing party." Such a motion, if granted, would result in a judgment against Quest Licensing Corporation, which does not have the financial resources to enable it to pay any judgment which may be rendered against it, and, the defendants may seek to enforce their judgment by seeking to foreclose on the patents owned by the subsidiary or seek to force the subsidiary into bankruptcy and purchase the patents in the bankruptcy proceeding, either of which could result in a default under our agreements with United Wireless. On June 29, 2017, the defendants' motion for attorney fees in the Mobile Data litigation was denied, without prejudice. The defendants may renew their motion thirty days from the decision of the appellate court on our appeal of the district court's decision granting the defendant's motion for summary judgment

interest in a portfolio of United States patents and six related applications (the "CXT Portfolio"). Under the agreement, CXT will distribute 50% of net proceeds, as defined, to IV 34/37. CXT advanced \$25,000 to IV 34/37 at closing, which it received as a loan from United Wireless. The agreement with IV34/37 provides that if, on August 31, 2018, August 31, 2019 and August 31, 2020, cumulative distributions to IV 34/37 total less than \$100,000, \$375,000 and \$975,000, respectively, CXT shall pay the difference necessary to achieve the applicable minimum payment amount within ten days after the applicable date; with any advances being credited toward future distributions to IV 34/36. Neither we nor any affiliate of CXT has guaranteed the minimum payments. CXT's obligations under the agreement are secured by a security interest in the proceeds (from litigation or otherwise) from the CXT Portfolio.

On July 31, 2017, we issued to United Wireless our 10% promissory note due September 30, 2020 in the principal amount of \$25,000 pursuant to the standstill agreement, for which we received \$25,000, which was paid to IV 34/37 as the initial payment to IV 34/37 for the purchase of the CXT Portfolio.

On July 31, 2017, CXT entered into a monetization proceeds agreement pursuant to which United Wireless received the right to receive 7.5% of the net monetization proceeds received from the CXT Portfolio. CXT's obligations under the monetization proceeds agreement are secured by a security interest in the proceeds (from litigation or otherwise) from the CXT Portfolio. The security interest in the proceeds from CXT Portfolio is junior to the security interest held by IV 34/37 in the CXT Portfolio and proceeds thereof.

## Results of Operations

### *Three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016*

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2017 were approximately \$578,000, a decrease of approximately \$128,000, or 18%, from the comparable period of 2016, which were approximately \$706,000. Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 were approximately \$1,229,000, an increase of approximately \$33,000, or 3%, from the comparable period of 2016, which was approximately \$1,190,000. We generated revenue from patent fees of approximately \$575,000 and approximately \$1,196,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, from settlements in the anchor structure portfolio actions. We generated revenue from patent fees of \$375,000 during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2016, from settlements in the online marketing and promotions portfolio actions.

Operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2017 decreased by approximately \$156,000, or 24% compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016. Operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 decreased by approximately \$321,000, or 18%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Our principal operating expense for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 was royalty costs of approximately \$283,000 and approximately \$808,000, respectively, which represent fees payable to attorneys and third-party funding sources associated with the anchor structure portfolio settlements. These fees, which are paid directly from the settlement funds, became payable as a result of settlement agreements that provided for a recovery. The total settlement recovery is included in revenue and the associated costs are deducted as cost of revenue. When the settlement funds are disbursed we receive the net amount due us after deducting the associated settlement costs. We had royalty costs of approximately \$337,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016. Our principal operating expense for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was royalty costs of approximately \$337,000. Our principal operating expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was management support services in support of mobile data portfolio litigation which are paid by the firm that is providing the funding for the litigation. Management support services was approximately \$396 and \$25,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, and approximately \$109,000 and \$805,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. The decrease in management support services expenses in the both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 from the comparable periods in 2016 reflected the reduced level of revenue from management fees, and we no longer receive management fees beyond reimbursement for payments to third party litigation support service providers with respect to this litigation. Due to the timing of payables and receivables, management support services paid exceeded management fees during the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2017.

Other income (expense) included interest expense of \$194,833 and \$426,860 for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, and \$74,333 and \$229,712 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. The increase in interest expense primarily reflect the accrued interest on our note to United Wireless.

During the period we incurred income tax expense of approximately \$170 and approximately \$105,000 for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$0 and \$2,150 for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. The increase in income tax expense primarily reflect foreign income taxes related to foreign source patent fees. We did not incur foreign income tax expenses in the comparable period in 2016.

As a result of the foregoing, we sustained a net loss of approximately \$138,000, or \$0.00 per share (basic and diluted), for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and a net loss of approximately \$709,000, or \$0.00 per share (basic and diluted), for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to net loss of approximately \$5,000, or \$0.00 per share (basic and diluted), for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and a net loss of approximately \$808,000, or \$0.00 per share (basic and diluted), for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At September 30, 2017, we had current assets of approximately \$161,000, and current liabilities of approximately \$4,090,000. Our current liabilities include \$1,000,000 and \$100,000 due to Intellectual Ventures on account of the purchase price of the patent portfolios we purchased from Intellectual Ventures in October 2015 and July 2017, respectively, and loans payable of \$163,000 and accrued interest of approximately \$261,000 due to former directors and minority stockholders. Our agreement with United Wireless requires United Wireless to lend us the funds to make the \$1,000,000 payment to Intellectual Ventures. As of September 30, 2017, we have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$16,090,000 and a negative working capital of approximately \$3,929,000. Other than salary to our chief executive officer, we do not contemplate any other material operating expense in the near future other than normal general and administrative expenses, including expenses relating to our status as a public company filing reports with the SEC.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in generating future revenues, in obtaining additional debt or equity financing or that such additional debt or equity financing will be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all, or that we will be able to obtain any third-party funding in connection with any of our intellectual property portfolios. We have no credit facilities.

We have an agreement with a funding source which is providing litigation financing in connection with our pending litigation relating to our mobile data portfolio, and we have two agreements with a second funding source which is providing litigation financing in connection with our pending litigation relating to our power management/bus control and anchor structure portfolios. We cannot predict the success of any pending or future litigation. Our obligations to United Wireless are not contingent upon the success of any litigation. If we fail to generate a sufficient recovery in these actions (net of any portion of any recovery payable to the funding source or our legal counsel) in a timely manner to enable us to pay United Wireless on the present loans and the additional loans which United Wireless has agreed to make to us, we would be in default under our agreements with United Wireless which could result in United Wireless obtaining ownership of the three subsidiaries which own the patent rights we acquired from Intellectual Ventures. Our agreements with the funding sources provide that the funding sources will participate in any recovery which is generated. We believe that our financial condition, our history of losses and negative cash flow from operations, and our low stock price make it difficult for us to raise funds in the debt or equity markets.

In April 2016, Mariner IC brought patent infringement suits in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against MediaTek Inc., Texas Instruments Incorporated, LG Electronics, Inc., Toshiba Corporation, and Funai Electric Co., Ltd. As of September 30, 2017 all the cases have settled and have been dismissed. The gross settlement is reflected in revenue and the associated costs and expenses are included in cost of revenue.

As noted below, there is a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

## Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates including the allowance for doubtful accounts, the salability and recoverability of our products, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form our basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

### *Principles of Consolidation*

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with US GAAP and present the financial statements of the Company and our wholly-owned subsidiary. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

### *Use of Estimates and Assumptions*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value measurements which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 "Fair Value Option" was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value.

### *Income Tax*

We record revenues on a gross basis, before deduction for income taxes. We incurred foreign income tax expenses of approximately \$170 and approximately \$105,000 for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2017. We did not incur foreign income tax expense in the comparable period in 2016.

### *Stock-based Compensation*

We account for share-based awards issued to employees in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation." Accordingly, employee share-based payment compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period, which is normally the vesting period. Share-based compensation to directors is treated in the same manner as share-based compensation to employees, regardless of whether the directors are also employees. The Company accounts for share-based compensation to persons other than employees in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50. Equity instruments issued to other than employees are valued at the earlier of a commitment date or upon completion of the services, based on the fair value of the equity instruments and is recognized as expense over the service period. The Company estimates the fair value of share-based payments using the Black Scholes option-pricing model for common stock options and warrants and the closing price of the Company's common stock for common share issuances.

### *Long-Lived Assets*

We review for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable, pursuant to guidance established in ASC 360-10-35-15, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". We recognize an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset's estimated fair value and its book value.

### *Revenue Recognition*

We recognize revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition". Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

We consider our licensing and enforcement activities as one unit of accounting under ASC 605-25, "Multiple-Element Arrangements" as the delivered items do not have value to customers on a standalone basis, there are no undelivered elements and there is no general right of return relative to the license. Under ASC 605-25, the appropriate recognition of revenue is determined for the combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the final elements, including the license for past and future use and the release. Also, due to the fact that the settlement element and license element for past and future use are the major central business, we do not present these two elements as different revenue streams in its statement of operations. We do not expect to provide licenses that do not provide some form of settlement or release.

### *Cost of Revenue*

Cost of revenues mainly includes expenses incurred in connection with our patent enforcement activities, such as legal fees, consulting costs, patent maintenance,

royalty fees for acquired patents and other related expenses. Cost of revenue does not include expenses related to product development, patent amortization, integration or support, as these are included in general and administrative expenses.

#### *Inventor/Former Owner Royalties and Contingent Legal/Litigation Finance Expenses*

In connection with the investment in certain patents and patent rights, certain of our operating subsidiaries may execute related agreements which grant to the inventors and/or former owners of the respective patents or patent rights, the right to receive a percentage of future net revenues (as defined in the respective agreements) generated as a result of licensing and otherwise enforcing the respective patents or patent portfolios.

Our operating subsidiaries may retain the services of law firms that specialize in patent licensing and enforcement and patent law in connection with their licensing and enforcement activities. These law firms may be retained on a contingent fee basis whereby such law firms are paid a percentage of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments awarded.

Our operating subsidiaries may engage with funding sources that specialize in providing financing for patent licensing and enforcement. These litigation finance firms may be engaged on a non-recourse basis whereby such litigation finance firms are paid a percentage of any negotiated fees, settlements or judgments awarded in exchange for providing funding for legal fees and out of pocket expenses incurred as a result of the licensing and enforcement activities.

The economic terms of the inventor agreements, operating agreements, contingent legal fee arrangements and litigation financing agreements associated with the patent portfolios owned or controlled by our operating subsidiaries, if any, including royalty rates, contingent fee rates and other terms, vary across the patent portfolios owned or controlled by such operating subsidiaries. Inventor/former owner royalties, payments to non-controlling interests, contingent legal fees expenses and litigation finance expenses fluctuate period to period, based on the amount of revenues recognized each period, the terms and conditions of revenue agreements executed each period and the mix of specific patent portfolios with varying economic terms and obligations generating revenues each period. Inventor/former owner royalties, contingent legal fees expenses and litigation finance expenses will continue to fluctuate and may continue to vary significantly period to period, based primarily on these factors. To the extent that we have agreements with counsel and/or litigation funding sources pursuant to which payments made to them represent a portion of the gross recovery, and such payment is contingent upon a recovery, our revenue from litigation reflects the gross recovery from litigation as licensing fees, and payments to counsel and/or litigation funding sources are reflected as cost of revenue.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

Management does not anticipate that the recently issued but not yet effective accounting pronouncements will materially impact the Company's financial condition.

#### **Going Concern**

We have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$16,090,000 and negative working capital of approximately \$3,929,000 as of September 30, 2017. Because of our continuing losses, our working capital deficiency, the uncertainty of future revenue, our obligations to Intellectual Ventures and United Wireless, our low stock price and the absence of a trading market in our common stock, our ability to raise funds in equity market or from lenders is severely impaired, and we may not be able to continue as a going concern. Although we may seek to raise funds and to obtain third party funding for litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights, the availability of such funds is uncertain. Further, the funding sources do not cover any liability which we may incur in the event that we do not prevail in litigation and we are required to pay the defendant's legal costs or a judgment against us by a defendant. In the event of a judgment against any of our subsidiaries, it may be necessary for the subsidiary to seek protection under the Bankruptcy Act, which could result in a default under our notes to United Wireless. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### **Off-balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

#### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

##### **Management's Conclusions Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" ("Disclosure Controls"), as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of September 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The Disclosure Controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, which positions are held by the same person. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, due to the inadequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, our sole employee being our chief executive and financial officer and our limited internal audit function, our disclosure controls were not effective as of September 30, 2017, such that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the president and treasurer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

##### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.**

As reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, management has determined that our internal audit our internal controls contains material weaknesses due to insufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions as well as lack of qualified accounting personnel and excessive reliance on third party consultants for accounting, financial reporting and related activities. These problems continue to affect us as we only have one full-time executive officer, who is our only full-time employee and who serves as chief executive officer and chief financial officer.

During the period ended September 30, 2017, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 5. Other Information.**

On November 10, 2017, the board of directors adopted the 2017 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which we can issue up to 150,000,000 shares of common stock pursuant to non-qualified stock options, restricted stock grants and other equity-based incentives. On November 10, 2017, the board granted restricted stock grants to its three directors for services rendered to the Company, of which 60,000,000 shares were issued to Jon C. Scahill and 5,000,000 shares were issued to each of Dr. William Ryall Carroll and Timothy J. Scahill. The right to the shares vested upon the grant. The fair value of the shares will be reflected as a non-cash compensation expense in the fourth quarter of 2017. The issuance of the shares was exempt from registration under the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving a public offering.

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

10.1	<a href="#">2017 Equity Incentive Plan</a>
31.1	<a href="#">Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</a>
32.1	<a href="#">Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</a>
101.ins	XBRL Instance Document
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Document
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Linkbase Document
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 14, 2017

**QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION**

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill  
Jon C. Scahill  
Chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer

EX-10.1 2 f10q0917ex10-1\_questpatent.htm 2017 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

**Exhibit 10.1**

**ACTION IN WRITING  
OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF  
QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION**

November 10, 2017

Pursuant to Section 141(f) of the Delaware General Corporation Law, the undersigned, constituting all of the members of the board of directors of Quest Patent Research Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Corporation"), by this Action in Writing do hereby consent to the adoption of the following resolutions this 10<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017

RESOLVED, that, the 2017 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") of this Corporation, pursuant to which 150,000,000 shares of the common stock, par value \$0.00003 per share ("Common Stock"), are reserved for issuance pursuant to awards granted under Plan, in the form presented to and reviewed by the directors of this Corporation, be and hereby is, adopted by the directors of this Corporation; and it is further

RESOLVED, that any shares of Common Stock, when issued pursuant to the Plan, be duly and validly authorized and issued, fully paid and non-assessable; and it is further

RESOLVED, that as compensation for services rendered to the Corporation, the Corporation shall issue the following number of shares of Common Stock to the persons listed below:

Name	No. of Shares
Jon C. Scahill	60,000,000
Dr. William Ryall Carroll	5,000,000
Timothy J. Scahill	5,000,000
	<u>70,000,000</u>

and it is further

RESOLVED, that the officers of this Corporation be, and hereby are, authorized and empowered to execute and deliver, in the name and behalf of this Corporation, a restricted stock agreement with respect to the shares granted pursuant to this Action in Writing, provided, however, that with respect to the grant to Jon C. Scahill, the restricted stock agreement may be executed by any other director in the name and on behalf of this Corporation; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Secretary or any Assistant Secretary or any other officer of this Corporation, be, and hereby is, authorized to certify as to the adoption

THIS ACTION IN WRITING may be executed in counterparts, which together constitute this action in writing.

/s/ Jon C. Scahill

Jon C. Scahill

/s/ William Ryall Carroll

Dr. William Ryall Carroll

/s/ Timothy J. Scahill

Timothy J. Scahill

EX-31.1 3 f10q0917ex31-1\_questpatent.htm CERTIFICATION

**Exhibit 31.1**

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE  
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jon C. Scahill, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Quest Patent Research Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Dated: November 14, 2017

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill

Jon C. Scahill

Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Executive and Accounting Officer)

EX-32.1 4 f10q0917ex32-1\_questpatent.htm CERTIFICATION

**Exhibit 32.1**

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Quest Patent Research Corporation. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2017 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jon C. Scahill, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 14, 2017

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill

Jon C. Scahill

Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive and Accounting Officer)