

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 33-18099-NY

QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)	11-2873662 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206S, Rye, NY (Address of principal executive offices)	10580-1411 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (888) 743-7577

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Note - Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter \$555,717 as of June 30, 2015.

As of March 31, 2016, the registrant had 313,038,334 shares of common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	10
Item 2. Properties	21
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	21
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	21
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	21
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	22

Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	30
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	30
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	30
Item 9B.	Other Information	31
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	32
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	33
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	35
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	36
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	36
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	37

As used in this annual report, the terms “we,” “us,” “our,” and words of like import, and the “Company” refers to Quest Patent Research Corporation and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contain “forward-looking statements,” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “expects,” “plans,” “will,” “forecasts,” “projects,” “intends,” “estimates,” and other words of similar meaning. One can identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address our growth strategy, financial results and product and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

These risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, include, and are not limited to:

- Our ability to generate revenue from our intellectual property rights, including our ability to license our intellectual property rights and our ability to be successful in any litigation which we may commence in order to seek to monetize our intellectual property rights;
- Our ability or perceived ability to obtain necessary financing for operations and for the monetization of our intellectual property rights;
- Our ability to generate sufficient proceeds from our intellectual property rights to enable us to pay the promissory notes which we issued and will issue in connection with our purchase of patent rights in October 2015;
- Our ability to obtain litigation funding to enable us to seek to protect our intellectual property rights, particularly our recently-acquired intellectual property rights, through litigation when necessary;
- Our ability to acquire intellectual property rights for innovative technologies for which there is a significant potential market;
- Our ability to identify and acquire intellectual property rights for innovative technologies for which there is a significant potential market;
- Our ability to recoup any investment which we may make to acquire or generate revenue from intellectual property rights;
- The effect of legislation and court decisions on the ability to generate revenue from patent and other intellectual property rights as well as the market’s perception of the effects of such legislation or court decisions on our business;
- The effects or perceived effects of the potential convertibility of convertible notes issued by us;
- Our ability to obtain the funding that we require in order to acquire intellectual property and otherwise develop our business;
- Our ability to reduce the cost of litigation through contingent fees with counsel or to obtain third-party financing to enable us to enforce our intellectual property rights through litigation or otherwise;
- The results or anticipated results of litigation by or against us;
- the anticipated or actual results of our operations;
- events or conditions relating to the enforcement of intellectual property rights generally;
- The development of a market for our common stock;
- Our ability to retain our key executive officers and identify, hire and retain additional key employees;
- Any discrepancy between anticipated or projected results and actual results of our operations;
- The market’s perception or our ability to continue to make our filings with the SEC in a timely manner;
- Actions by third parties to either sell or purchase stock in quantities which would have a significant effect on our stock price; and
- Other matters not within our control.

In addition, factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in particular, the risks discussed under the caption “Risk Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” as well as those discussed in other documents we file with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements, except as required by law. Given these risks and uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

Information regarding market and industry statistics contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is included based on information available to us that we believe is accurate. It is generally based on industry and other publications that are not produced for purposes of securities offerings or economic analysis. We have not reviewed or included data from all sources. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are an intellectual property asset management company. Our principal operations include the development, acquisition, licensing and enforcement of intellectual property rights that are either owned or controlled by us or one of our wholly owned subsidiaries. We currently own, control or manage eight intellectual property portfolios, which principally consist of patent rights. Our eight intellectual property portfolios include the three portfolios which we acquired in October 2015 from Intellectual Ventures Assets 16, LLC. As part of our intellectual property asset management activities and in the ordinary course of our business, it has been necessary for us or the intellectual property owner who we represent to initiate, and it is likely to continue to be necessary to initiate, patent infringement lawsuits and engage in patent infringement litigation. We anticipate that our primary source of revenue will come from the grant of licenses to use our intellectual property, including licenses granted as part of the settlement of patent infringement lawsuits. We also generate revenue from management fees from managing intellectual property portfolios.

We seek to generate revenue from three sources:

- Patent licensing fees relating to our intellectual property portfolio, which includes fees from the licensing of our intellectual property, primarily from litigation relating to enforcement of our intellectual property rights.
- Management fees, which we receive for managing structured licensing programs, including litigation, related to our intellectual property rights.
- Licensed packaging sales, which relate to the sale of licensed products.

Intellectual property monetization includes the generation of revenue and proceeds from the licensing of patents, patented technologies and other intellectual property rights. Patent litigation is often a necessary element of intellectual property monetization where a patent owner, or a representative of the patent owner, seeks to protect its patent rights against the unlicensed manufacture, sale, and use of the owner's patent rights or products which incorporate the owner's patent rights. In general, we seek to monetize the bundle of rights granted by the patents through structured licensing and when necessary enforcement of those rights through litigation, although to date all of our patent license revenues have resulted from litigation.

We intend to develop our business by acquiring intellectual property rights, either in the form of ownership or an exclusive license to the underlying intellectual property. Our goal is to enter into agreements with inventors of innovative technologies for which there may be a significant market for products which use or incorporate the intellectual property. We seek to purchase all of, or interests in, intellectual property in exchange for cash, securities of our company, the formation of a joint venture or separate subsidiary in which the owner has an equity interest, and/or interests in the monetization of those assets. Our revenue from this aspect of our business can be generated through licensing and, when necessary, litigation efforts as well as intellectual property management fees. We engage in due diligence and a principled risk underwriting process to evaluate the merits and potential value of any acquisition, partnership or joint venture. We seek to structure the terms of our acquisitions in a manner that will achieve the highest risk-adjusted returns possible, in the context of our financial condition.

- 1 -

We employ a due diligence process before completing the acquisition of an intellectual property interest. We begin with an investment thesis supporting the potential transaction and then proceed to test the thesis through an examination of the critical drivers of the value of the underlying intellectual property asset. Such an examination focuses on areas such as title and inventorship issues, the quality of the drafting and prosecution of the intellectual property assets, legal risks inherent in licensing programs generally, the applicability of the invention to the relevant marketplace and other issues such as the effects of venue and other procedural issues. However, our financial position may affect our ability to conduct due diligence with respect to intellectual property rights.

It is frequently necessary to commence litigation in order to obtain a recovery for past infringement of, or to license the use of, our intellectual property rights. Intellectual property litigation is very expensive, with no certainty of any recovery. To the extent possible we seek to engage counsel on a contingent fee or partial contingent fee basis, which significantly reduces our litigation cost, but which also reduces the value of the recovery to us. We do not have the resources to enable us to fund the cost of litigation. To the extent that we cannot fund litigation ourselves, we may enter into an agreement with a third party, which may be the patent owner or the former patent owner who transferred the patent rights to us, or an independent third party. In view of our limited cash and our working capital deficiency, we are not able to institute any monetization program that may require litigation unless we engage counsel on a fully contingent basis or we obtain funding from third party funding sources. In these cases, either counsel is afforded a greater participation in the recovery or the third party that funds the litigation would be entitled to participate in any recovery.

Purchase of Intellectual Property from Intellectual Ventures

On October 22, 2015, pursuant to an agreement with an effective date of July 8, 2015, as amended, between us and Intellectual Ventures, we purchased three groups of patents from Intellectual Ventures for a purchase price of \$3,000,000, of which \$1,000,000 was paid at the closing from the proceeds of the \$1,250,000 loan from United Wireless and the balance is due in two installments of \$1,000,000 due one and two years from the closing. We acquired the intellectual property at the closing, and we granted Intellectual Ventures a security interest in the patents transferred to us as security for the payment of the balance of the purchase price. The security interest of Intellectual Ventures is senior to the security interest of United Wireless in the proceeds derived from such patents. The patent portfolios which we acquired from Intellectual Ventures are the anchor structure portfolio, the power management/bus control portfolio and the diode on chip portfolio, which are described under "Business – Our Intellectual Property Portfolios."

Our Organization

We were incorporated in Delaware on July 17, 1987 under the name Phase Out of America. On September 21, 1997, we changed our name to Quest Products Corporation, and, on June 6, 2007, we changed our name to Quest Patent Research Corporation. We have been engaged in the intellectual property monetization business since 2008. Our executive principal office is located at 411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206S, Rye, New York 10580-1411, telephone (888) 743-7577. Our website is www.qpre.com. Information contained on our website or any other website does not constitute a part of this annual report.

Our Intellectual Property Portfolios

Mobile Data

The real-time mobile data portfolio relates to the automatic update of information delivered to a mobile device without the need for a manual refreshing. The portfolio is comprised of U.S. Patent No. 7,194,468 "Apparatus and Method for Supplying Information" and all related patents, patent applications, and all continuations, continuations-in-part, divisions, extensions, renewals, reissues and re-examinations relating to all inventions thereof (the "Mobile Data Portfolio"). We initially entered into an agreement with the patent owner, Worldlink Information Technology Systems Limited, whereby we received the exclusive license to license and enforce the Mobile Data Portfolio. Under the agreement we received a monthly management fee and a percentage of licensing revenues. Subsequently Worldlink

transferred its remaining interest in the Mobile Data Portfolio to Allied Standard Limited. In October 2012, we entered into an agreement with Allied pursuant to which Allied transferred its entire right title and interest in the Mobile Data Portfolio to Quest Licensing Corporation, which was at the time, a wholly-owned subsidiary. Under the agreement, Allied was entitled to receive a 50% interest in Quest Licensing. Quest Licensing's only intellectual property is the Mobile Data Portfolio. Our agreement with Allied provides that we and Allied will each receive 50% of the net licensing revenues, as defined by the agreement. In June 2013, we entered into an agreement with The Betting Service Limited, an entity controlled by a former director of Worldlink. Pursuant to the agreement, we granted The Betting Service an interest in licensing proceeds from the Mobile Data Portfolio in return for The Betting Service's assistance in developing certain Mobile Data Portfolio assets. In April 2014, we entered into a further agreement with Allied whereby Allied relinquished certain rights under the October 2012 agreement, including its entitlement to a 50% interest in Quest Licensing, in exchange for our commitment to fund a structured licensing program for the Mobile Data Portfolio.

- 2 -

Through December 31, 2015, we did not generate any licensing revenues from the Mobile Data Portfolio.

In March 2014, we entered into a funding agreement whereby a third party agreed to provide funds to us to enable us to implement a structured licensing program, including litigation if necessary, for the Mobile Data Portfolio and engaged counsel on a partial contingency basis in connection with a proposed patent infringement action relating to the Mobile Data Portfolio. Under the funding agreement, the third party receives an interest in the proceeds from the program, and we have no other obligation to the third party.

In April and June 2014, as part of a structured licensing program, Quest Licensing Corporation brought patent infringement suits in the U.S. District for the District of Delaware against Bloomberg LP et. al., FactSet Research Systems Inc., Interactive Data Corporation, SunGard Data Systems Inc. and The Charles Schwab Corporation et. al. These cases have been consolidated for trial. A hearing, known as a Markman hearing, in which the judge examines the evidence from the parties on the appropriate meanings of relevant key words in the claim was held on February 8, 2016, and trial has been scheduled for January 9, 2017, although it is possible that the trial date may be postponed. In connection with this litigation, the third party provided funds of approximately \$320,000 in 2015 and \$460,000 in 2014, which was paid to litigation counsel and other third parties. In addition, the funding source paid us management fees of approximately \$250,000 in 2015 and \$413,000 in 2014.

Online Marketing, Sweepstakes, Promotions & Rewards (Von Kohorn Portfolio)

The portfolio consists of five United States Patents that include patent claims related to, among other areas, online couponing, print-at-home boarding passes and tickets, online sweepstakes; including the promotion by television networks of online sweepstakes (the "Von Kohorn Portfolio"). In December 2009, we entered into an agreement with Intertech Holdings, LLC pursuant to which our wholly-owned subsidiary, Quest NetTech Corporation, acquired by assignment all right, title, and interest in the Von Kohorn Portfolio. Under the agreement, we will receive 20% of adjusted gross recoveries, as defined. In August 2013, we and Intertech Holdings amended the December 2009 agreement to provide that Intertech Holdings will receive 33% of the adjusted gross recoveries and Quest NetTech will receive 67% of adjusted gross recoveries.

In September 2011, Quest NetTech brought a patent infringement action in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida against Valassis Communications, Inc. et al. There were several other defendants in this action, and they settled the action during 2012 and 2013. With respect to each defendant in the action, the parties entered into a mutually agreeable resolution of all claims.

In September and October 2013, Quest NetTech brought several patent infringement actions against various entities in the U.S. District for the Eastern District of Texas. These actions were settled.

In July 2014, Quest NetTech brought several patent infringement suits against various entities in the U.S. District for the Eastern District of Texas. These actions were settled.

In February 2015, Quest NetTech brought several patent infringement suits against various entities in the U.S. District for the Eastern District of Texas. These actions were settled in November 2015.

- 3 -

In March 2016, Quest NetTech brought several patent infringement suits against various entities in the U.S. District for the Eastern District of Texas. These actions are pending.

Through December 31, 2013, we generated license fees of approximately \$512,500 from the Von Kohorn Portfolio. We generated license fees of approximately \$505,000 and \$215,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Flexible Packaging - Turtle PakTM

In March 2008, we entered into an agreement with Emerging Technologies Trust whereby our majority-owned subsidiary, Quest Packaging Solutions Corporation, acquired the exclusive license to make, use, sell, offer for sale or sublicense the intellectual property of Emerging Technologies Trust (the "Turtle PakTM Portfolio"). The Turtle Pak portfolio relates to a cost effective, high-protection packaging system recommended for fragile items weighing less than ten pounds. The intellectual property consists of two U.S. patents, U.S. Patent No. RE36,412 and U.S. Patent No. 6,490,844, and the Turtle PakTM trademark. Turtle PakTM brand packaging is suited for such uses as electrical and electronic components, medical, dental, and diagnostic equipment, instrumentation products, and control components. Turtle PakTM brand packaging materials are 100% curbside recyclable.

As the exclusive licensee and manager of the manufacture and sale of licensed product, we coordinate the manufacture and sale of licensed products to end users; we contract for the manufacture and assembly of the product components, and we coordinate order receipt, fulfillment and invoicing. Revenues from the TurtlePakTM product sales were approximately \$283,500 through December 31, 2013 and approximately \$22,000 and \$33,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively. We continue to generate modest revenue from this product.

Universal Financial Data System

The invention describes a universal financial data system which allows its holder to use the device to access one or more accounts stored in the memory of the device as a cash payment substitute as well as to keep track of financial and transaction records and data, such as transaction receipts, in a highly portable package, such as a cellular device (the "Financial Data Portfolio"). The inventive universal data system is capable of supporting multiple accounts of various types, including but not limited to credit card accounts, checking/debit accounts, and loyalty accounts. Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Wynn Technologies Inc., acquired US Patent No. 5,859,419, from the owner, Sol Wynn. In January 2001, we filed a reissue application for the patent, and the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued patent RE38,137. This reissued patent, which contains 35 separate claims, replaces the original patent, which had seven claims. In February 2011, we entered into a new agreement with Sol Li (formerly Sol Wynn), pursuant to which we issued to Mr. Li a 35% interest in Wynn Technologies and warrants to purchase up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share. We also agreed that Mr. Li would receive 40% of the net licensing revenues generated by Wynn Technologies with respect to this patent, which is the only patent owned by Wynn Technologies.

In August 2010, we entered into a five-year consulting agreement with Alex W. Hart pursuant to which he agreed to serve as a special consultant to us on the development and commercialization of the Data System Patent. Pursuant to this agreement, we issued Mr. Hart an option to purchase 5,000,000 shares common stock at a price of \$0.001 per share, those options expired unexercised on December 31, 2015. Through December 31, 2015, we did not generate any revenue from the Financial Data Portfolio.

Rich Media

The rich media portfolio is directed to methods, systems, and processes that permit typical Internet users to design rich-media production content (*i.e.*, rich-media applications), such as websites. The portfolio consists of U.S. Patent No. 7,000,180, "Methods, Systems, and Processes for the Design and Creation of Rich Media Applications via the Internet" and all related patents, patent applications, corresponding foreign patents and foreign patent applications and foreign counterparts, and all continuations, continuations-in-part, divisions, extensions, renewals, reissues and re-examinations relating to all inventions thereof (the "Rich Media Portfolio"). In July 2008, we entered into a consulting and licensing program management agreement with Balthaser Online, Inc., the patent owner, pursuant to which we performed services related to the establishment and management of a licensing program to evaluate and analyze the relevant market and to obtain licenses for the Rich Media Portfolio in exchange for management fees as well as an irrevocable entitlement to a distribution of 15% of all proceeds generated by the Rich Media Portfolio for the remaining life of the portfolio regardless of whether those proceeds are derived from litigation, settlement, licensing or otherwise. Our 15% distribution right is subject to reduction to 7.5% in the event that we refuse or are unable to perform the services detailed in the agreement.

- 4 -

Through December 31, 2015, we did not generate any revenue from the rich media patents.

Anchor Structure Portfolio

This portfolio, which we acquired from Intellectual Ventures in October 2015 and transferred to a newly formed subsidiary, Mariner IC Inc., consists of two United States patents which relate to technology for incorporating metal structures in the corners and edges of semiconductor dies to prevent cracking from stresses.

Power Management/Bus Control Portfolio

This portfolio, which is the second portfolio which we acquired from Intellectual Ventures and transferred to a newly-formed subsidiary, Semcon IP Inc., consists of four United States patents that cover fundamental technology for adjusting the processor clock and voltage to save power based on the operating characteristics of the processor and one United States patent that relates to coordinating direct bus communications between subsystems in an assigned channel.

Diode on Chip Portfolio

This portfolio, which is the third portfolio which we acquired from Intellectual Ventures and transferred to a newly-formed subsidiary, IC Kinetics Inc., consists of two United States patents and two pending continuation applications which cover technology relating to on-chip temperature measurement for semiconductors.

Competition

We encounter and expect to continue to encounter competition in the areas of intellectual property acquisitions for the sake of licensure from both private and publicly traded companies that engage in intellectual property monetization activities. Such competitors and potential competitors include companies seeking to acquire the same intellectual property assets and intellectual property rights that we may seek to acquire. Entities such as Acacia Research Corporation, Document Security Systems, Inc., Intellectual Ventures, Wi-LAN, Conversant IP, VirnetX Holding Corporation, Marathon Patent Group, Inc., Network-1 Security Solutions, Round Rock Research LLC, IPvalue Management Inc., Vringo Inc., Pendrell Corporation, Finjan Holdings, Inc., Inventergy Global, Inc., Netlist Inc., Parkervision Inc., Spherix Incorporated, United Wireless, Walker Innovation, Inc. and others derive all or a substantial portion of their revenue from patent monetization activities, and we expect more entities to enter the market. Most of our competitors have longer operating histories and significantly greater financial resources and personnel than we have.

We also compete with venture capital firms, strategic corporate buyers and various industry leaders for intellectual property and technology acquisitions and licensing opportunities. Many of these competitors have more financial and human resources than our company. In seeking to obtain intellectual property assets or intellectual property rights, we seek to both demonstrate our understanding of the intellectual property that we are seeking to acquire or license and our ability to monetize their intellectual property rights. Our weak cash position may impair our ability to negotiate successfully with the intellectual property owners.

Other companies may develop competing technologies that offer better or less expensive alternatives to intellectual property rights that we may acquire and/or out-license. Many potential competitors may have significantly greater resources than we do. The development of technological advances or entirely different approaches could render certain of the technologies owned or controlled by our operating subsidiaries obsolete and/or uneconomical.

- 5 -

Intellectual Property Rights

We have eight intellectual property portfolios: mobile data, financial data, rich media, Von Kohorn, Turtle Pak, anchor structure, power management/bus control and diode on chip. The following table sets forth information concerning our patents and other intellectual property. Each patent or other intellectual property right listed in the table below that has been granted is publicly accessible on the Internet website of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at www.uspto.gov. In the table below, the anchor structure portfolio is referred to as Mariner, the power management/bus control portfolio is referred to as Semcom, and the diode on chip portfolio is referred to as IC.

Segment	Type	Number	Title	Issue / Publication		
				File Date	Date	Expiration
Financial Data	US Patent	RE38,137	Programmable Multiple Company Credit Card System	01/11/2001	06/10/2003	09/28/2015
Mobile Data	US Patent	7,194,468	Apparatus and Method for Supplying Information	02/09/2001	03/20/2007	02/09/2021
Mobile Data	US Application	9,288,605	Apparatus and Method for Supplying Information	11/12/2009	03/15/2016	02/09/2021
Mobile Data	US Application	13/832,012	Apparatus and Method for Supplying Information	03/15/2013	09/05/2013	N/A
Von Kohorn	US Patent	5,227,874	Method for measuring the effectiveness of stimuli on decisions of shoppers	10/15/1991	07/13/1993	07/13/2010
Von Kohorn	US Patent	5,283,734	System and method of communication with authenticated wagering participation	09/19/1991	02/01/1994	09/19/2011
Von Kohorn	US Patent	5,368,129	Retail facility with couponing	07/23/1992	11/29/1994	07/23/2012
Von Kohorn	US Patent	5,508,731	Generation of enlarged participatory broadcast audience	02/25/1993	04/16/1996	04/16/2013
Von Kohorn	US Patent	5,697,844	System and method for generating and redeeming tokens	10/25/1990	07/07/1992	07/17/2009
Turtle Pak	US Patent	RE36,412	Article Packaging Kit, System, and Method	06/18/1996	11/30/1999	06/24/2013

Turtle Pak	US Patent	6,490,844	Film Wrap Packaging Apparatus and Method	06/21/2001	12/10/2002	07/10/2021
Turtle Pak	US Trademark	74709827	Turtle Pak - design plus words, letters, and/or numbers	08/01/1995	06/04/1996	N/A
Mariner	US Patent	5,650,666	Method and apparatus for preventing cracks in semiconductor die	11/22/1995	7/22/1997	11/22/2015
Mariner	US Patent	5,846,874	Method and apparatus for preventing cracks in semiconductor die	2/28/1997	12/8/1998	11/22/2015
Semcon	US Patent	7,100,061	Adaptive power control	1/18/2000	8/29/2006	1/18/2020
Semcon	US Patent	7,596,708	Adaptive power control	4/25/2006	9/29/2009	1/18/2020
Semcon	US Patent	8,566,627	Adaptive power control	7/14/2009	10/22/2013	1/18/2020
Semcon	US Patent	8,806,247	Adaptive power control	12/21/2012	8/12/2014	1/18/2020
Semcon	PCT Application	PCT/US2001/001684	Adaptive power control	1/16/2001	7/26/2001	N/A
Semcon	Reexam Certificate	7,100,061C1	Adaptive power control	6/13/2007	8/4/2009	N/A
Semcon	US Patent	5,978,876	System and method for controlling communications between subsystems	4/14/1997	11/2/1999	4/14/2017
IC	US Patent	7,118,273	System for on-chip temperature measurement in integrated circuits	4/10/2003	10/10/2006	4/10/2023
IC	US Patent	7,108,420	System for on-chip temperature measurement in integrated circuits	10/7/2004	9/19/2006	4/10/2023
IC	US Patent	9,222,843	System for on-chip temperature measurement in integrated circuits	9/23/2011	12/29/2015	4/10/2023
IC	US Application	11/524,526	System for on-chip temperature measurement in integrated circuits	9/19/2006	N/A	N/A
IC	US Application	10/824,702	System for on-chip temperature measurement in integrated circuits	4/14/2004	N/A	N/A
Rich Media	Patent Proceeds Interest	7,000,180	Methods, Systems, And Processes For The Design And Creation Of Rich Media Applications Via The Internet	02/09/2001	02/14/2006	10/16/2023
Rich Media	US Application Proceeds Interest	13/314977	Methods, Systems, And Processes For The Design And Creation Of Rich Media Applications Via The Internet	12/08/2011	04/12/2012	N/A

- 6 -

Agreements with United Wireless

Summary

On October 22, 2015, we entered into a series of agreements with United Wireless:

Pursuant to a securities purchase agreement between us and five of our subsidiaries (Quest Licensing Corporation, Wynn Technologies, Inc., Mariner IC Inc., Semcon IP Inc., and IC Kinetics Inc.), we issued to United Wireless our 10% promissory note in the principal amount of \$1,250,000 due September 30, 2020, for which we received \$1,250,000. The terms of the notes are described under “Promissory Notes.”

Pursuant to the securities purchase agreement, we sold to United Wireless 50,000,000 shares of common stock for \$250,000, or \$0.005 per share.

Pursuant to the securities purchase agreement, we granted United Wireless an option to purchase a total of 50,000,000 shares, with exercise prices of \$0.01 per share as to 16,666,667 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2016 through September 30, 2020, \$0.03 per share as to 16,666,667 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2020, and \$0.05 per share as to 16,666,666 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2018 through September 30, 2020.

We used \$1,000,000 of the proceeds we received from United Wireless as the first payment of a \$3,000,000 purchase price for the patents we acquired from Intellectual Ventures contemporaneously with our closing with United Wireless.

United Wireless agreed to make loans to us for payment of the second and third \$1,000,000 payments due to Intellectual Ventures on November 22, 2016 and 2017, regardless of whether we are in compliance with our obligations under the securities purchase agreement or our other agreements with United Wireless. United Wireless has the right to make such payments directly to Intellectual Ventures, and United Wireless made the initial \$1,000,000 payment directly to Intellectual Ventures.

United Wireless agreed to make working capital loans to us, subject to our meeting standard closing conditions, in the aggregate amount of \$1,000,000 in eight quarterly loans of \$125,000, commencing September 30, 2016.

All of the notes to be issued to United Wireless, whether in respect of the purchase of the patent rights from Intellectual Ventures or for working capital, will have the same terms and conditions, including default provisions and conversion rights. In the event that certain events of default, which are called Conversion Eligible Events of Default, shall have occurred and are continuing on the date a \$1,000,000 payment is due to Intellectual Ventures, United Wireless shall have the obligation to make the payment, and immediately upon the United Wireless’ payment to Intellectual Ventures, we shall be deemed to have assigned, transferred and conveyed to United Wireless and/or its nominee full, absolute and unconditional title to and ownership of the stock of three subsidiaries that hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures, and our obligations on the notes including the conversion rights, to the extent that the notes relate to the payment of the purchase price of the patents from Intellectual Venture, terminate, and United Wireless will have no further obligation to make working capital loans to us. Our obligations, including United Wireless’ conversion rights, on the notes issued for working capital, will continue in full force and effect. As of the date of this annual report, of the \$1,250,000 note that is outstanding, \$1,000,000 relates to the purchase of the patents from Intellectual Ventures and \$250,000 relates to working capital.

- 7 -

We entered into a monetization proceeds agreement pursuant to which United Wireless received the right to receive 15% of the net monetization proceeds received from (a) the patents acquired by us from Intellectual Ventures and (b) the patents in our mobile data and financial data intellectual property portfolios.

Our obligations under our agreements with United Wireless, including our obligations under all notes issued to United Wireless and the monetization proceeds agreement, are secured by a pledge of the stock of the three subsidiaries that hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and by the proceeds from the intellectual property represented by (i) the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and (ii) the intellectual property in the mobile data and financial data portfolios.

Five of our subsidiaries, Quest Licensing, Wynn, Mariner, Semcon, and IC, guaranteed our obligations to United Wireless.

We granted United Wireless certain registration rights with respect to (i) the 50,000,000 shares of common stock purchased by United Wireless at the closing, (ii) the 50,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the purchase options, and (iii) in the event that the notes become convertible, to the extent that the note holders request, the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the notes.

We agreed that, within 135 days from the closing date (i.e., by March 2, 2016), we would increase our authorized common stock from 390,000,000 shares to 1,250,000,000 shares, and, in the event that, in the future, the number of authorized shares of common stock is not sufficient to enable the full conversion of the notes, we will have 135 days to increase the common stock (or effect a reverse split or a combination of an increase in the authorized common stock and a reverse split) so that there will be sufficient shares of common stock available for full conversion of the notes. United Wireless agreed to vote its shares or give its consent in connection with any such increase in authorized common stock. On January 22, 2016, we filed an amended and restated certificate of incorporation which increased our authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000 shares.

We agreed with United Wireless that, as long as United Wireless' stockholdings exceed 10%, United Wireless has the right to designate one member of the board of directors and at such time and for as long as United Wireless' stockholdings exceed 24.9%, United Wireless may nominate a second director to the board. Unless a Conversion Eligible Event of Default shall have occurred, United Wireless agreed not to seek to elect a majority of the board for a period of at least three years from the closing date. We agreed that the size of the board would not exceed five.

Commencing six months from the closing date, if the shares owned by United Wireless cannot be sold pursuant to a registration statement and cannot be sold pursuant to Rule 144 without our being in compliance with the current public information requirements of Rule 144, if we are not in compliance with the current public information requirements, we are required to pay damages to United Wireless.

The securities purchase agreement, the note issued at the closing, the monetization proceeds agreement, the patent proceeds security agreement, the pledge and security agreement and the registration rights agreement are exhibits to this annual report. The description of these agreements are summaries only and are qualified in their entireties by the agreements filed as exhibits.

Promissory Notes

The promissory notes bear interest at 10% per annum and mature on September 30, 2020. Interest accrues through September 30, 2018, with accrued interest being added to principal on each of September 30, 2016, 2017 and 2018. Subsequent to September 30, 2018, we are to pay interest quarterly, with the first interest payment being due on December 31, 2018. We have the right to prepay the notes in whole at any time and in part from time to time. Although the notes have no conversion rights, if a Conversion Eligible Event of Default occurs, the notes become convertible at a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing sale price of our common stock on the principal market on which the common stock is trading on the trading day immediately preceding the date the holder gives notice of conversion. As required by the securities purchase agreement, we have increased our authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000 shares. However, we cannot assure you that such number of shares would be sufficient to permit conversion of the notes in full if a Conversion Eligible Event of Default should occur. We are required to have reserved from our authorized and unissued common stock, 130% of the number of shares of common stock as shall be necessary for issuance upon conversion of the notes.

- 8 -

Conversion Eligible Events of Default include the breach of selected representations and warranties and covenants contained in the securities purchase agreement and the note, including our failure to pay principal of any note or interest and other charges in excess of \$100,000. Although the observance of these covenants is generally within our control, one of the provisions which would trigger a Conversion Eligible Event of Default is our failure to have sufficient shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of the notes.

The occurrence of a Conversion Eligible Event of Default would not only make the notes convertible but, if it exists on the date a \$1,000,000 payment is due to Intellectual Ventures, it would permit United Wireless to take title to the stock of the three subsidiaries which own the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures, in which event our obligations under those notes that, at the date of such failure, were issued in connection with the purchase of the patents from Intellectual Ventures, would terminate.

The holders of the notes also have the right to demand redemption of the notes at 110% of the principal amount of the note in the event of a change of control.

Monetization Proceeds Agreement

Pursuant to the monetization proceeds agreement, United Wireless has a right to receive 15% of the net monetization proceeds from (i) the patents acquired by us from Intellectual Ventures and (ii) the patents in our mobile data and financial data intellectual property portfolios. The agreement has no termination provisions, so United Wireless will be entitled to its percentage interest as long as revenue can be generated from the intellectual property covered by the agreement.

Net monetization proceeds represent the amount by which any consideration received from the patents, including royalty payments and amounts received as a result of litigation relating to the patents exceeds monetization expenses, including legal fees, and certain other expenses, but not operating expenses not relating to the monetization activities, including patent litigation. The percentage payable with respect to monetization proceeds from the mobile data and financial data intellectual property (but not the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures) is reduced in the event that United breaches its agreement to make working capital loans pursuant to the securities purchase agreement.

Grant of Security Interest

Payment of the notes and our obligations under the monetization proceeds agreement as well as the other obligations under the agreements with United Wireless is secured by a security interest in all proceeds (from litigation or otherwise) from the (i) the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and (ii) the intellectual property in the mobile data and financial data portfolios, and a pledge of the stock of the three subsidiaries which hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures. The security interest in the proceeds from the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures is junior to the security interest held by Intellectual Ventures in the patents and proceeds thereof which were acquired by us from Intellectual Ventures as security for the payment of the \$2,000,000 balance of the purchase price of the patents. The security interest in proceeds from the patents relating to the Company's mobile data portfolio is junior to the security interest held by Longford Capital Fund I, LP, which is providing litigation funding relating to this portfolio.

Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to a registration rights agreement, we agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC covering the 50,000,000 shares of common stock issued to United at the closing and the 50,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the purchase option. We are required to file the registration statement within 60 days of the October 22, 2015 closing, which is December 21, 2015, and have the registration statement declared effective by the SEC within 120 days of the closing if the registration statement is not subject to a full review by the SEC and 180 days if the registration statement is subject to a full review. We filed the registration statement on December 14, 2015 and it was declared effective by the SEC on February 11, 2016. We are also required to file a registration statement covering the shares issuable upon conversion of the notes upon request by the note holders. The notes do not become convertible until and unless there is a Conversion Eligible Event of Default. The registration rights agreements provides for us to pay damages in the event that we do not meet the required deadlines.

- 9 -

Employees

As of February 29, 2016, we have no employees other than our two officers, only one of whom, Mr. Jon Scahill, our chief executive officer and president, is full time. Our employees are not represented by a labor union, and we consider our employee relations to be good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below together with all of the other information included in this annual report before making an investment decision with regard to our securities. The statements contained in this annual report include forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in or implied by forward-looking statements. The risks set forth below are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties may exist that could also adversely affect our business, prospects or operations. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or a significant part of your investment.

Risks Relating to our Financial Conditions and Operations

We have a history of losses and are continuing to incur losses. During the period from 2008, when we changed our business to become an intellectual property management company, through 2015, we generated a cumulative loss of more than \$14,400,000 on cumulative revenues of less than \$3,500,000 and our losses are continuing. Our total assets were approximately \$2,700,000 at December 31, 2015, of which approximately \$2,300,000 represented the book value of patents we acquired in October 2015. At December 31, 2015, we had a working capital deficiency of approximately \$1,100,000, and our continuing losses are generating an increase in our negative working capital. We cannot give assurance that we can or will ever operate profitably.

Our independent auditors have included a going concern qualification in their report on our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015. Because of our history of losses, deficiency in stockholders' equity, working capital deficiency and the uncertainty of generating revenues in the future, our independent auditors have included a going concern qualification in their report on our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

We require significant funding in order to develop our business. Our business requires substantial funding to evaluate and acquire intellectual property rights and to develop and implement programs to monetize our intellectual property rights, including the prosecution of any litigation necessary to enable us to monetize our intellectual property rights. Our failure to develop and implement these programs could both jeopardize our relationships under our existing agreements and could inhibit our ability to generate new business, either through the acquisition of intellectual property rights or through exclusive management agreements. We cannot be profitable unless we are able to obtain the funding necessary to develop our business. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain necessary funding or to develop our business.

Unless we generate significant revenue from our intellectual properties, we may be unable to pay the notes we incurred in connection with our recent intellectual property purchase. In October 2015, we borrowed \$1,250,000 from United Wireless in order to provide us with the funds to make the initial \$1,000,000 payment on account of the \$3,000,000 purchase price of the intellectual property we acquired from Intellectual Ventures. United Wireless agreed to advance us an additional \$2,000,000 to make the remaining payments due to Intellectual Ventures as well as an additional \$1,000,000 for working capital. The notes are due September 30, 2020. Unless we generate revenue either from our existing intellectual property portfolio, including the patent rights we acquired from Intellectual Ventures, or from any new intellectual property portfolios which we may acquire in the future, we do not expect to have the funds necessary to pay principal and interest on the notes. If we are not able to make payment when due, we may not be able to continue in business and it may be necessary for us to seek protection under the Bankruptcy Act. We cannot assure you that we will be able to generate the revenue necessary to pay United Wireless.

- 10 -

Because of our lack of funds, we may not be able to conduct adequate due diligence on any new intellectual property which we may seek to acquire. We currently have nominal current assets and are operating at a loss. In order to evaluate any intellectual property rights which we may seek to acquire, we need to conduct due diligence on the intellectual property and underlying technology. To the extent that we are unable to perform the necessary due diligence, we will not be able to value any asset which we acquire, which may impair our ability to generate revenue from the intellectual property rights. If any conditions occur, such as defects in the ownership of the intellectual property, infringement on intellectual property rights of others, the existence of better technology which does not require our intellectual property, or other conditions that affect the value of the patents or marketability of the underlying intellectual property rights, we may not be able to monetize the patents and we may be subject to liability to a third party who has rights in the intellectual property.

Any funding we obtain may result in significant dilution to our stockholders. Because of our financial position, our continuing losses and our negative working capital from operations, we do not expect that we will be able to obtain any debt financing for our operations. Our stock price has generally been trading at a price which is less than \$0.01 per share for more than the past two years. As a result, it will be very difficult for us to raise funds in the equity markets. However, in the event that we are able to raise funds in the equity market, the sale of shares would result in significant dilution to the present stockholders, and even a modest equity investment could result in the issuance of a very significant number of shares.

If we breach certain obligations under our agreement with United Wireless, including our failure to pay the notes when due or have sufficient authorized common stock for potential conversion of our notes due to United Wireless, we may lose the ownership of the subsidiaries that own intellectual property. Under our agreement with United Wireless, United Wireless is required to make the second and third \$1,000,000 payments to Intellectual Ventures, even if we are not in compliance with our obligations under our agreements with United Wireless. In the event that certain events of default, which are called Conversion Eligible Events of Default, have occurred and are continuing on the date a \$1,000,000 payment is due to Intellectual Ventures, United Wireless shall make the payment, and immediately upon the United Wireless' payment to Intellectual Ventures, we shall be deemed to have assigned, transferred and conveyed to United Wireless and/or its nominee title to and ownership of the stock of the subsidiaries that hold title to the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and our obligations on the notes, to the extent that the notes relate to the payment of the purchase price of the patents from Intellectual Venture, terminate. In addition, any outstanding notes become convertible into common stock at a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing sale price of our common stock on the trading day immediately preceding the date the United Wireless gives notice of conversion. Conversion Eligible Events of Default include our failure to pay principal on any note, our failure to pay interest and other charges in excess of \$100,000 and our failure to reserve for issuance upon conversion of the notes the number of shares of common stock that equals at least 130% of the aggregate maximum number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the then outstanding notes (assuming a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing price of the common stock on the effective date of the increase in authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000) which failure is not cured within 135 days. The closing price of our common stock on the date we increased our authorized common stock was \$0.004 per share. We cannot assure you that we will be able to prevent a Conversion Eligible Event of Default.

We are dependent upon our chief executive officer. We are dependent upon Jon Scahill, our chief executive officer and president and sole full-time employee, for all aspects of our business including locating, evaluating and negotiating for intellectual property rights from the owners, managing our intellectual property portfolios, engaging in licensing activities and monetizing the rights through licensing and managing and monitoring any litigation with respect to our intellectual property as well as defending any actions by potential licensees seeking a declaratory judgment that they do not infringe. The loss of Mr. Scahill would materially impair our ability to conduct our business. Although we have an employment agreement with Mr. Scahill, the employment agreement does not insure that Mr. Scahill will remain with us.

- 11 -

Risks Relating to Monetizing our Intellectual Property Rights

We may not be able to monetize our intellectual property portfolios. Although our business plan is to generate revenue from our intellectual property portfolios, we have not been successful in generating any significant revenue from our portfolios and we have not generated any revenues from two of our intellectual property portfolios. We cannot assure you that we will be able to generate any significant revenue from our existing portfolios or that we will be able to acquire new intellectual property rights that will generate significant revenue.

If we are not successful in monetizing our portfolios, we may not be able to continue in business. Although we have ownership of some of our intellectual property, we also license the rights pursuant to agreements with the owners of the intellectual property. If we are not successful in generating revenue for those parties who have an interest in the results of our efforts, those parties may seek to renegotiate the terms of our agreements with them, which could both impair our ability to generate revenue from our intellectual property and make it more difficult for us to obtain rights to new intellectual property rights. If we continue to be unable to generate revenue from our existing intellectual property portfolios and any new portfolios we may acquire, we may be unable to continue in business.

Our inability to acquire intellectual property portfolios will impair our ability to generate revenue and develop our business. We do not have the personnel to develop patentable technology by ourselves. Thus, we need to depend on acquiring rights to intellectual property and intellectual property portfolios from third parties. In acquiring intellectual property rights, there are delays in (i) identifying the intellectual property which we may want to acquire, (ii) negotiating an agreement with the owner or holder of the intellectual property rights, and (iii) generating revenue from those intellectual property rights which we acquire. During these periods, we will continue to incur expenses with no assurance that we will generate revenue. We currently hold intellectual property portfolios from which we have not generated any revenue to date, and we cannot assure you that we will generate revenue from our existing intellectual property portfolios or any additional intellectual properties which we may acquire.

We may be unable to enforce our intellectual property rights unless we obtain third party funding. Because of the expense of litigation and our lack of working capital, we may be unable to enforce our intellectual property rights unless we obtain the agreement of a third party to provide funding in support of our litigation. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain third party funding, and the failure to obtain such funding may impair our ability to monetize our intellectual property portfolio.

Because we need to rely on third-party funding sources to provide us with funds to enforce our intellectual property rights we are dependent upon the perception of potential funding sources of the value of our intellectual property. Because we do not have funds to pursue litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights, we are dependent upon the valuation which potential funding sources give to our intellectual property. In determining whether to provide funding for intellectual property litigation, the funding sources need to make an evaluation of the strength of our patents, the likelihood of success, the nature of the potential defendants and a determination as to whether there is a sufficient potential recovery to justify a significant investment in intellectual property litigation. Typically, such funding sources receive a percentage of the net recovery after litigation expenses, and seek to generate a sufficient return on investment to justify the investment. Unless that funding source believes that it will generate a sufficient return on investment, it will not fund litigation. We cannot assure you that we will be able to negotiate funding agreements with third party funding sources on terms reasonably acceptable to us, if at all. Because of our financial condition, we may only be able to obtain funding on terms which are less favorable to us than we would otherwise be able to obtain.

Even if we enter into funding agreements, there is no assurance that we will generate revenue from the funded litigation. Although the funding source makes its evaluation as to the likelihood of success, patent litigation is very uncertain, and we cannot assure you that, just because we obtain litigation funding, we will be successful or that any recovery we may obtain will be significant.

Because of the terms of a funding agreement and our agreement with United Wireless, we allocate to third parties a significant portion of any recovery we may obtain. Typically, an agreement with a litigation funding source provides that the funding party received a negotiated percentage of the recovery after legal expenses. In addition, we have a monetization proceeds agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which United Wireless has the right to receive 15% of the net monetization proceeds received from the patents we acquired from Intellectual Ventures and our mobile data and financial data intellectual property portfolios. As a result, the amount we recover from any successful litigation, after the costs of the litigation, represents only a fraction of the net recovery.

- 12 -

Because we granted United Wireless a security interest in almost all of our intellectual property and the proceeds from our intellectual property, we may not be able to raise funds through a debt financing. Pursuant to our agreements with United Wireless, we granted United Wireless a security interest in the stock of our subsidiaries that hold the intellectual property acquired from Intellectual Ventures and in the proceeds from the monetization of the intellectual property acquired from Intellectual Ventures and our mobile data and financial data portfolios. The inability to grant a security interest in these assets to a new lender would materially impair our ability to obtain debt financing for our operations, and may also impair our ability to obtain financing to acquire additional intellectual property rights.

Because of our financial condition and our failure to have generated revenues from our existing portfolios, we may not be able to obtain intellectual property rights to the most advanced technologies. In order to generate meaningful revenues from intellectual property rights, we need to be able to identify, negotiate rights to and offer technologies for which there is a developing market. Because of our financial condition and our lack of the generation of any significant revenue from our existing intellectual property portfolios, we may be unable to negotiate rights to technology for which there will be a strong developing market, or, if we are able to negotiate agreements for such intellectual property, the terms of our purchase or license may not be favorable to us. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to acquire intellectual property rights to the technology for which there is a strong market demand.

Potential acquisitions may present risks, and we may be unable to achieve the financial or other goals intended at the time of any potential acquisition. Our ability to grow depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire interests in intellectual property, including patented technologies, patent portfolios, or companies holding such patented technologies and patent portfolios. Accordingly, we intend to engage in acquisitions to expand our intellectual property portfolios and we intend to continue to explore such acquisitions. Such acquisitions are subject to numerous risks, including the following:

- our failure to have sufficient funding to enable us to make the acquisition;
- our failure to have sufficient personal to satisfy the seller that we have the personnel to monetize the assets we propose to acquire;
- dilution to our stockholders to the extent that we use equity in connection with any acquisition;
- our inability to enter into a definitive agreement with respect to any potential acquisition, or if we are able to enter into such agreement, our inability to consummate the potential acquisition;
- difficulty integrating the operations, technology and personnel of the acquired entity;
- our inability to achieve the anticipated financial and other benefits of the specific acquisition;
- difficulty in maintaining controls, procedures and policies during the transition and monetization process;
- diversion of our management's attention from other business concerns, especially considering that we have only one full-time employee/officer; and
- our failure, in our due diligence process, to identify significant issues, including issues with respect to patented technologies and intellectual property

If we are unable to manage these risks effectively as part of any acquisition, our business could be adversely affected.

Our acquisition of intellectual property rights may be time consuming, complex and costly, which could adversely affect our operating results. Acquisitions of patent or other intellectual property assets, which are and will be critical to the development of our business, are often time consuming, complex and costly to consummate. We may utilize many different transaction structures in our acquisitions and the terms of such acquisition agreements tend to be heavily negotiated. As a result, we expect to incur significant operating expenses and may be required to raise capital during the negotiations even if the acquisition is ultimately not consummated. Even if we are able to acquire particular intellectual property assets, there is no guarantee that we will generate sufficient revenue related to those intellectual property assets to offset the acquisition costs. We may also identify intellectual property assets that cost more than we are prepared to spend with our own capital resources. We may incur significant costs to organize and negotiate a structured acquisition that does not ultimately result in an acquisition of any intellectual property assets or, if consummated, proves to be unprofitable for us. These higher costs could adversely affect our operating results.

If we acquire technologies that are in the early stages of market development, we may be unable to monetize the rights we acquire. We may acquire patents, technologies and other intellectual property rights that are in the early stages of adoption in the commercial, industrial and consumer markets. Demand for some of these technologies will likely be untested and may be subject to fluctuation based upon the rate at which companies may adopt our intellectual property in their products and services. As a result, there can be no assurance as to whether technologies we acquire or develop will have value that we can monetize. It may also be necessary for us to develop additional intellectual property and file new patent applications as the underlying commercial market evolves, as a result of which we may incur substantial costs with no assurance that we will ever be able to monetize our intellectual property.

Our intellectual property monetization cycle is lengthy and costly, and our marketing, legal and sales efforts may be unsuccessful. We expect to incur significant marketing, legal and sales expenses prior to entering into monetization events that generate revenue for us. We will also spend considerable resources educating potential licensees on the benefits of entering into an agreement with us that may include a non-exclusive license for future use of our intellectual property rights. Thus, we may incur significant losses in any particular period before any associated revenue stream begins. If our efforts to convince potential licensees of the benefits of a settlement arrangement are unsuccessful, we may need to continue with the litigation process or other enforcement action to protect our intellectual property rights and to realize revenue from those rights. We may also need to litigate to enforce the terms of existing agreements, protect our trade secrets, or determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Enforcement proceedings are typically protracted and complex. The costs are typically substantial, and the outcomes are unpredictable. Enforcement actions will divert our managerial, technical, legal and financial resources from business operations.

We may not be successful in obtaining judgments in our favor. We have commenced litigation seeking to monetize our intellectual property portfolios and it may be necessary for us to commence litigation in the future. All litigation is uncertain, and we cannot assure you that any litigation will be decided in our favor or that, if damages are awarded or a license is negotiated, that we will generate any significant revenue from the litigation.

Our financial condition may cause both intellectual property rights owners and potential licensees to believe that we do not have the financial resources to commence and prosecute litigation for infringement. Because of our financial condition, both intellectual property rights owners and potential licensees may believe that we do not have the ability to commence and prosecute sustained and expensive litigation to protect our intellectual property rights with the effect that (i) intellectual property rights owners may be reluctant to grant us rights to their intellectual property and (ii) potential licensees may be less inclined to pay for license rights from us.

Any patents which may be issued to us pursuant to patent applications which we filed or may file may fail to give us necessary protection. We cannot be certain that patents will be issued as a result of any pending or future patent applications, or that any of our patents, once issued, will provide us with adequate protection from competing products. For example, issued patents may be circumvented or challenged, declared invalid or unenforceable, or narrowed in scope. In addition, since publication of discoveries in scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, we cannot be certain that we will be the first to make additional new inventions or to file patent applications covering those inventions. It is also possible that others may have or may obtain issued patents that could prevent us from commercializing our products or require us to obtain licenses requiring the payment of significant fees or royalties in order to enable us to conduct our business. As to those patents that we may acquire, our continued rights will depend on meeting any obligations to the seller and we may be unable to do so. Our failure to obtain or maintain intellectual property rights for our inventions would lead to the loss of our investments in such activities, which would have a material adverse effect on us.

The provisions of Federal Declaratory Judgment Act may affect our ability to monetize our intellectual property. Under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, it is possible for a party who we consider to be infringing upon our intellectual property to commence an action against us seeking a declaratory judgment that such party is not infringing upon our intellectual property rights. In such a case, the plaintiff could choose the court in which to bring the action and we would be the defendant in the action. Common claims for declaratory judgment in patent cases are claims of non-infringement, patent invalidity and unenforceability. Although the commencement of an action requires a claim or controversy, a court may find a letter from us to the alleged infringer seeking a royalty for the use of our intellectual property rights to form the basis of a controversy. In such a case, the plaintiff, rather than we, would choose the court in which to bring the action and the timing of the action. In addition, when we commence an action as plaintiff, we may be able to enter into a contingent fee arrangement with counsel, it is possible that counsel may be less willing to accept such an arrangement if we are the defendant. Further, we would not have the opportunity of choosing against which party to bring the action. An adverse decision in a declaratory judgment action could significantly impair our ability to monetize the intellectual property rights which are the subject of the litigation. We have been a defendant in one declaratory judgment action, which resulted in a settlement. We cannot assure you that potential infringers will not be able to use the Declaratory Judgment Act to reduce our ability to monetize the patents that are the subject of the action.

A 2014 Supreme Court decision could significantly impair business method and software patents. In June 2014, the United States Supreme Court, in Alice v. CLS Bank, struck down patents covering a computer-implemented scheme for mitigating “settlement risk” by using a third party intermediary, holding the patent claims to be ineligible as being drawn to a patent-ineligible abstract idea. The courts have been dealing for many years over what business methods are patentable. We cannot predict the extent to which the decision in Alice as well as prior Supreme Court decisions dealing with patents, will be interpreted by courts. To the extent that the Supreme Court decision in Alice gives businesses reason to believe that business model and software patents are not enforceable, it may become more difficult for us to monetize patents which are held to be within the ambit of the patents before the Supreme Court in Alice and for us to obtain counsel willing to represent us on a contingency basis. As a result, the decision in Alice could materially impair our ability to obtain patent rights and monetize those which we do obtain.

Legislation, regulations or rules related to obtaining patents or enforcing patents could significantly increase our operating costs and decrease our revenue. We may apply for patents and may spend a significant amount of resources to enforce those patents. If legislation, regulations or rules are implemented either by Congress, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the courts that impact the patent application process, the patent enforcement process or the rights of patent holders, these changes could negatively affect our expenses and revenue. For example, new rules regarding the burden of proof in patent enforcement actions could significantly both increase the cost of our enforcement actions and make it more difficult to sign licenses without litigation, changes in standards or limitations on liability for patent infringement could negatively impact our revenue derived from such enforcement actions, and any rules requiring that the losing party pay legal fees of the prevailing party could also significantly increase the cost of our enforcement actions. United States patent laws were recently amended with the enactment of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the America Invents Act, which took effect on March 16, 2013. The America Invents Act includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law. In general, the legislation attempts to address issues surrounding the enforceability of patents and the increase in patent

litigation by, among other things, establishing new procedures for patent litigation. For example, the America Invents Act changes the way that parties may be joined in patent infringement actions, increasing the likelihood that such actions will need to be brought against individual parties allegedly infringing by their respective individual actions or activities. The America Invents Act and its implementation increases the uncertainties and costs surrounding the enforcement of our patented technologies, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice has conducted reviews of the patent system to evaluate the impact of patent assertion entities on industries in which those patents relate. It is possible that the findings and recommendations of the Department of Justice could impact the ability to effectively license and enforce standards-essential patents and could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the enforcement of any such patented technologies.

- 15 -

Proposed legislation may affect our ability to conduct our business. There are presently pending or proposed a number of laws which, if enacted, may affect the ability of companies such as us to generate revenue from our intellectual property rights. Typically, these proposed laws cover legal actions brought by companies which do not manufacture products or supply services but seek to collect licensing fees based on their intellectual property rights and, if they are not able to enter into a license, to commence litigation. Although a number of such bills have been proposed in Congress, we do not know which, if any, bills will be enacted into law or what the provisions will be and, therefore, we cannot predict the effect, if any, that such laws, if passed by Congress and signed by the president, would provide. However, we cannot assure you that legislation will not be enacted which would impair our ability to operate by making it more difficult for us to commence litigation against a potential licensee or infringer. To the extent that an alleged infringer believes that we will not prevail in litigation, it would be more difficult to negotiate a license agreement without litigation.

The unpredictability of our revenues may harm our financial condition. Our revenues from licensing have typically been lump sum payments entered into at the time of the license, which may be in connection with the settlement of litigation, and not from licenses that pay an ongoing royalty. Due to the nature of the licensing business and uncertainties regarding the amount and timing of the receipt of license and other fees from potential infringers, stemming primarily from uncertainties regarding the outcome of enforcement actions, rates of adoption of our patented technologies, the growth rates of potential licensees and certain other factors, our revenues, if any, may vary significantly from quarter to quarter, which could make our business difficult to manage, adversely affect our business and operating results, cause our quarterly results to fall below market expectations and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to retain the qualified legal counsel to represent us in patent enforcement litigation. The success of our licensing business may depend upon our ability to retain the qualified legal counsel to prosecute patent infringement litigation. As our patent enforcement actions increase, it will become more difficult to find the preferred choice for legal counsel to handle all of our cases because many of these firms may have a conflict of interest that prevents their representation of us or because they are not willing to represent us on a contingent or partial contingent fee basis.

Our reliance on representations, warranties and opinions of third parties may expose us to certain material liabilities. From time to time, we rely upon the representations and warranties of third parties, including persons claiming ownership of intellectual property rights, and opinions of purported experts. In certain instances, we may not have the opportunity to independently investigate and verify the facts upon which such representations, warranties and opinions are made. By relying on these representation, warranties and opinions, we may be exposed to liability in connection with the licensing and enforcement of intellectual property and intellectual property rights which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

In connection with patent enforcement actions, counterclaims may be brought against us and a court may rule against us in counterclaims which may expose us and our operating subsidiaries to material liabilities. In connection with patent enforcement actions, it is possible that a defendant may file counterclaims against us or a court may rule that we have violated statutory authority, regulatory authority, federal rules, local court rules, or governing standards relating to the substantive or procedural aspects of such enforcement actions. In such event, a court may issue monetary sanctions against us or our operating subsidiaries or award attorney's fees and/or expenses to the counterclaiming defendant, which could be material, and if we or our operating subsidiaries are required to pay such monetary sanctions, attorneys' fees and/or expenses, such payment could materially harm our operating results, our financial position and our ability to continue in business.

Trial judges and juries may find it difficult to understand complex patent enforcement litigation, and as a result, we may need to appeal adverse decisions by lower courts in order to successfully enforce our patents. It is difficult to predict the outcome of patent enforcement litigation at the trial level. It is often difficult for juries and trial judges to understand complex, patented technologies, and, as a result, there is a higher rate of successful appeals in patent enforcement litigation than more standard business litigation. Regardless of whether we prevail in the trial court, appeals are expensive and time consuming, resulting in increased costs and delayed revenue, and attorneys may be less likely to represent us in an appeal on a contingency basis especially if we are seeking to appeal an adverse decision. Although we may diligently pursue enforcement litigation, we cannot predict the decisions made by juries and trial courts.

- 16 -

More patent applications are filed each year resulting in longer delays in getting patents issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. We hold a number of pending patents and may file or acquire rights to additional patent applications. We have identified a trend of increasing patent applications each year, which we believe is resulting in longer delays in obtaining approval of pending patent applications. The application delays could cause delays in recognizing revenue, if any, from these patents and could cause us to miss opportunities to license patents before other competing technologies are developed or introduced into the market.

U.S. Federal courts are becoming more crowded, and, as a result, patent enforcement litigation is taking longer. Patent enforcement actions are almost exclusively prosecuted in federal district courts. Federal trial courts that hear patent enforcement actions also hear criminal and other civil cases. Criminal cases always take priority over patent enforcement actions. As a result, it is difficult to predict the length of time it will take to complete an enforcement action. Moreover, we believe there is a trend in increasing numbers of civil lawsuits and criminal proceedings, and, as a result, we believe that the risk of delays in patent enforcement actions will have a significant effect on our business in the future unless this trend changes.

Any reductions in the funding of the United States Patent and Trademark Office could have an adverse impact on the cost of processing pending patent applications and the value of those pending patent applications. Our primary assets are our patent portfolios, including pending patent applications before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The value of our patent portfolios is dependent upon the issuance of patents in a timely manner, and any reductions in the funding of the United States Patent and Trademark Office could negatively impact the value of our assets. Further, reductions in funding from Congress could result in higher patent application filing and maintenance fees charged by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, causing an unexpected increase in our expenses.

The rapid development of technology may impair our ability to monetize intellectual property that we own. In order for us to generate revenue from our intellectual property, we need to offer intellectual property that is used in the manufacture or development of products. Rapid technological developments have reduced the market for products using less advanced technology. To the extent that technology develops in a manner in which our intellectual property is not a necessary element or to the extent that others design around our intellectual property, our ability to license our intellectual property portfolios or successfully prosecute litigation will be impaired. We cannot assure you that we will have rights to intellectual property for most advanced technology or that there will be a market for products which require our technology.

The intellectual property management business is highly competitive. A large number of other companies seek to obtain rights to new intellectual property and to market existing intellectual property. Most of these companies have significantly both greater resources that we have and industry contacts which place them in a better position to generate new business. Further, our financial position, our lack of executive personnel and our inability to generate revenue from our portfolio can be used against us by our competitors. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in obtaining intellectual property rights to new developing technologies.

As intellectual property enforcement litigation becomes more prevalent, it may become more difficult for us to voluntarily license our intellectual property. We believe that the more prevalent intellectual property enforcement actions become, the more difficult it will be for us to voluntarily license our intellectual property rights. As a result, we may need to increase the number of our intellectual property enforcement actions to cause infringing companies to license the intellectual property or pay damages for lost royalties.

Weak global economic conditions may cause potential licensees to delay entering into licensing agreements, which could prolong our litigation and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results. Our business depends significantly on strong economic conditions that would encourage potential licensees to enter into license agreements for our intellectual property rights. The United States and world economies have recently experienced weak economic conditions. Uncertainty about global economic conditions poses a risk as businesses may postpone spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news and declines in income or asset values. This response could have a material adverse effect on the willingness of parties infringing on our assets to enter into settlements or other revenue generating agreements voluntarily.

- 17 -

If we are unable to adequately protect our intellectual property, we may not be able to compete effectively. Our ability to compete depends in part upon the strength of the intellectual property and intellectual property rights that we own or may hereafter acquire in our technologies, brands and content and our ability to protect such intellectual property rights. We rely on a combination of patent and intellectual property laws and agreements to establish and protect our patent, intellectual property and other proprietary rights. The efforts we take to protect our patents, intellectual property and other proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective at stopping unauthorized use of our patents, intellectual property and other proprietary rights. In addition, effective trademark, patent, copyright and trade secret protection may not be available or cost-effective in every country in which we have rights. There may be instances where we are not able to protect or utilize our patent and other intellectual property in a manner that maximizes competitive advantage. If we are unable to protect our patent assets and intellectual property and other proprietary rights from unauthorized use, the value of those assets may be reduced, which could negatively impact our business. Our inability to obtain appropriate protections for our intellectual property may also allow competitors to enter our markets and produce or sell the same or similar products as those covered by our intellectual property rights. In addition, protecting our intellectual property and intellectual property rights is expensive and diverts our critical and limited managerial resources. If any of the foregoing were to occur, or if we are otherwise unable to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights, our business and financial results could be impaired. If it becomes necessary for us to commence legal proceedings to enforce our intellectual property rights, the proceedings could be burdensome and expensive. In addition, our intellectual property rights could be at risk if we are unsuccessful in, or cannot afford to pursue, those proceedings. We also rely on trade secrets and contract law to protect some of our intellectual property rights. We will enter into confidentiality and invention agreements with our employees and consultants. Nevertheless, these agreements may not be honored and they may not effectively protect our right to our unpatented trade secrets and know-how. Moreover, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and know-how.

Risks Concerning our Common Stock

Our notes to United Wireless will become convertible at a conversion price equal to 90% of the market price of the stock on the date the holder of the notes gives notice of conversion in the event of certain defaults under the notes. The notes that we issued and may issue in the future to United Wireless are not presently convertible, and they become convertible upon certain events of default. If the notes become convertible, the holders of the notes can convert the notes in part from time to time at 90% of the market price at the time of conversion. The ability, or the potential ability, of the holder to convert the notes into common stock at a price which is less than the market price on the date of conversion could result in significant downward pressure on the price of our common stock. If the notes become convertible, the possible additional dilution resulting from the issuance of shares of common stock on conversion of the notes, together with the below market conversion price, could result in continued downward pressure on our stock price until the notes are paid in full. Further, even though we increased our authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000 shares in January 2016, the possibility that the notes may become convertible in the future could also have a negative impact on the market price of our common stock.

If the notes issued to United Wireless become convertible, we may not have sufficient authorized common stock to enable us to fulfill our obligation to issue common stock on conversion of the notes. Because there is no fixed conversion price, it is possible that, even though we increased our authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000 shares in January 2016, we cannot assure you that we will continue to have sufficient shares of authorized common stock to permit conversion. Although we have an obligation to increase our authorized common stock further in the event that 1,250,000,000 authorized shares are not sufficient, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain stockholder approval of such an increase. The failure to be able to deliver common stock on conversion would be a further default under the notes and could result in our obligation to pay damages to the note holders.

There is a limited market for our common stock, which may make it difficult for you to sell your stock. Our common stock trades on the OTC Pink marketplace under the symbol "QPRC." The OTC Pink market is not a national securities exchange and does not provide the benefits to stockholders which a national exchange provides. Furthermore, according to the OTC Markets website, the OTC Pink "is for all types of companies that are there by reasons of default, distress or design, which is why they are further segmented based on the level of information that they provide." There is a limited trading market for our common stock and there are frequently days on which there is no trading in our common stock. Our common stock has traded for less than \$0.01 for almost all trading days since prior to January 1, 2014. Accordingly, there can be no assurance as to the liquidity of any markets that may develop for our common stock, the ability of holders of our common stock to sell our common stock, or the prices at which holders may be able to sell our common stock. Further, because of the thin float, the reported bid and asked prices may have little relationship to the price you would pay if you wanted to buy shares or the price you would receive if you wanted to sell shares.

- 18 -

Because our common stock is a penny stock, you may have difficulty selling our common stock in the secondary trading market. Our common stock fits the definition of a penny stock and therefore is subject to the rules adopted by the SEC regulating broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. The SEC rules may have the effect of reducing trading activity in our common stock making it more difficult for investors to purchase and sell their shares. The SEC's rules require a broker or dealer proposing to effect a transaction in a penny stock to deliver the customer a risk disclosure document that provides certain information prescribed by the SEC, including, but not limited to, the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker or dealer must also disclose the aggregate amount of any compensation received or receivable by him in connection with such transaction prior to consummating the transaction. In addition, the SEC's rules also require a broker or dealer to make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction before completion of the transaction. The existence of the SEC's rules may result in a lower trading volume of our common stock and lower trading prices. Further, some broker-dealers will not process transactions in penny stocks.

Our lack of internal controls over financial reporting may affect the market for and price of our common stock. Our disclosure controls and our internal controls over financial reporting are not effective. Since we became engaged in the intellectual property management business in 2008, we have not had the financial resources or personnel to develop or implement systems that would provide us with the necessary information on a timely basis so as to be able to implement financial controls. Our continued poor financial condition together with the fact that we have one full time employee makes it difficult for us to implement a system of internal controls over financial reporting, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to develop and implement the necessary controls. The absence of internal controls over financial reporting may inhibit investors from purchasing our shares and may make it more difficult for us to raise debt or equity financing.

Our lack of a full-time chief financial officer could affect our ability to develop financial controls, which could affect the market price for our common stock. We do not have a full-time chief financial officer. At present, our chief executive officer, who does not have an accounting background, is also acting as our chief financial officer. We do not anticipate that we will be able to hire a qualified chief financial officer until our financial condition has improved significantly. The lack of an

experienced chief financial officer, together with our lack of internal controls, may impair our ability to raise money through a debt or equity financing, the market for our common stock and our ability to enter into agreements with owners of intellectual property rights.

Our stock price may be volatile and your investment in our common stock could suffer a decline in value. As of the date of this annual report, there has only been limited trading activity in our common stock. There can be no assurance that any significant market will ever develop in our common stock in the future. Because of the low public float and the absence of any significant trading volume, the reported prices may not reflect the price at which you would be able to sell shares if you want to sell any shares you own or buy shares if you wish to buy share. Further, stocks with a low public float may be more subject to manipulation than a stock that has a significant public float. The price may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following, in addition to the risks described above and general market and economic conditions:

- our low stock price, which may result in a modest dollar purchase or sale of our common stock having a disproportionately large effect on the stock price;
- the market's perception as to our ability to generate positive cash flow or earnings from our intellectual property portfolios;
- changes in our or securities analysts' estimate of our financial performance;
- our ability or perceived ability to obtain necessary financing for operations and for the monetization of our intellectual property rights;

- 19 -

- the market's perception of the effects of legislation or court decisions on our business;
- the effects or perceived effects of the potential convertibility of convertible notes issued by us;
- the results or anticipated results of litigation by or against us;
- the anticipated or actual results of our operations;
- events or conditions relating to the enforcement of intellectual property rights generally;
- changes in market valuations of other intellectual property marketing companies;
- any discrepancy between anticipated or projected results and actual results of our operations;
- the market's perception or our ability to continue to make our filings with the SEC in a timely manner;
- actions by third parties to either sell or purchase stock in quantities which would have a significant effect on our stock price; and
- other matters not within our control.

Legislation, court decisions and other factors affecting enforcement of intellectual property rights may affect the price of our stock. Court rulings in intellectual property enforcement actions and new legislation or proposed legislation are often difficult to understand, even when favorable or neutral to the value of our intellectual property rights and our overall business. Investors and market analysts may react without a full understanding of these matters, causing fluctuations in our stock prices that may not accurately reflect the impact of court rulings, legislation, proposed legislation or other developments on our business operations and assets.

Raising funds by issuing equity or convertible debt securities could dilute the value of the common stock and impose restrictions on our working capital. If we were to raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, either alone or in connection with a non-equity financing, the value of the then outstanding common stock could decline. If the additional equity securities were issued at a per share price less than the per share value of the outstanding shares, which is customary in the private placement of equity securities, the holders of the outstanding shares would suffer a dilution in value with the issuance of such additional shares. Because of the low price of our stock and our working capital deficiency, the dilution to our stockholders could be significant. We may have difficulty in raising funds through the sale of debt securities because of both our financial position, the lack of any collateral on which a lender may place a value, and the absence of any history of significant monetizing of our intellectual property rights. If we are able to raise funds from the sale of debt securities, the lenders may impose restrictions on our operations and may impair our working capital as we service any such debt obligations.

Our failure to have filed reports with the SEC may impair the market for and the value of our common stock and may result in liability to us. We did not file reports with the SEC from 2003 until December 2014. We filed our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 on December 15, 2014; our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 on April 10, 2015; and our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 on August 18, 2015. Our failure to have made such filings may affect both the market for our common stock and the value of our common stock as well as the willingness of investors to purchase our stock. Further, because we did not have current information concerning our business and operations available, we have potential liability resulting from our failure to have been current in our SEC filings, and the SEC has broad power to take action against us for our failure to have been in compliance with the reporting requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Although the SEC permits an issuer to file an omnibus 10-K covering the periods for which filings were not made, the SEC is not foreclosed from seeking enforcement action for our filing delinquencies. Any such action could have a material adverse effect upon us and the market for and price of our common stock.

Because we have a classified board of directors, it may be more difficult for a third party to obtain control of us. As a result of the approval by our stockholders of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our board of directors is a classified board, which means that at each annual meeting, the stockholder will vote for only one-third of the board. A classified board of directors may make it more difficult for a third party of gain control of us which may affect the opportunity of our stockholders to receive any potential benefit which could be available from a third party seeking to obtain control over us.

- 20 -

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We do not own or lease any real property.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of our business, we pursue legal remedies to enforce our intellectual property rights and to stop unauthorized use of our technology as described under "Item 1. Business." We are not a defendant in any legal proceeding.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not Applicable

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Market Information**

Our common stock trades on the OTC Pink market under the symbol QPRC. The following table sets forth the range of quarterly high and low closing prices of our common stock as reported during 2014 and 2015, based on information on the OTC Markets website. These prices reflect inter-dealer quotations, do not include retail markups, markdowns or commissions and do not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

	2014		2015	
	High	Low	High	Low
First quarter	\$ 0.0047	\$ 0.0010	\$ 0.0047	\$ 0.0020
Second quarter	0.0205	0.0023	0.0045	0.0022
Third quarter	0.0064	0.0028	0.0046	0.0024
Fourth quarter	0.0075	0.0010	0.0068	0.0023

During the period from January 4, 2016 through March 31, 2016, the high and low closing prices per share for our common stock as reported on the OTC Markets website were \$0.022 and \$0.004. The last reported sale price of our common stock on March 31, 2016 was \$0.0093 per share.

Stockholders of Record

As of February 29, 2016, we had 457 record holders of our common stock.

Transfer Agent

Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company, 17 Battery Place, New York, NY 10004 is the transfer agent for our common stock.

- 21 -

Dividends

We have not paid any cash dividends to date and do not anticipate or contemplate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. It is the present intention of management to utilize all available funds for the development of our business.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Agreements

The following table gives information concerning common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options granted to certain officers, directors and consultants under their respective individual compensation agreements with us as of December 31, 2015.

Equity Compensation Agreements Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (#)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (\$)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a) (#))
<i>As of December 31, 2015</i>			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	-	\$ -	-
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	65,000,000	\$ 0.004	-
Total	65,000,000	\$ 0.004	-

A summary of the status of the Company's equity grants and changes is set forth below:

All of the equity compensation plans are agreements with present and former officers and directors and other persons with which we conduct business.

No warrants or options were exercised in 2015.

Recent sales of unregistered securities.

We did not sell any unregistered securities during 2015 or 2014 which we did not previously report in our SEC filings.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

- 22 -

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. See "Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors discussed in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report.

Overview

Our principal operations include the development, acquisition, licensing and enforcement of intellectual property rights that are either owned or controlled by us or one of our wholly owned subsidiaries. We currently own, control or manage eight intellectual property portfolios, including three patent portfolios which we acquired in October 2015 from Intellectual Ventures, which principally consist of patent rights. As part of our intellectual property asset management activities and in the ordinary course of our business, it has been necessary for either us or the intellectual property owner who we represent to initiate, and it is likely to continue to be necessary to initiate, patent infringement lawsuits and engage in patent infringement litigation. To date, we have not generated any significant revenues from our intellectual property rights.

We seek to generate revenue from three sources:

- Patent licensing fees relating to our intellectual property portfolio, which includes fees from the licensing of our intellectual property, primarily from litigation relating to enforcement of our intellectual property rights.
- Management fees, which we receive for managing structured licensing programs, including litigation, related to our intellectual property rights.
- Licensed packaging sales, which relate to the sale of licensed products.

Because of the nature of our business transactions to date, we recognize revenues from licensing upon execution of a license agreement following settlement of litigation and not over the life of the patent. Thus, we would recognize revenue when we receive the license fee or settlement payment. Although we intend to seek to develop portfolios of intellectual property rights that provide us for a continuing stream of revenue, to date we have not been successful in doing so, and we cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to generate any significant revenue from licenses that provide a continuing stream of revenue. Thus, to the extent that we continue to generate cash from single payment licenses, our revenues can, and are likely to, vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. Our gross profit from license fees reflects any royalties which we pay in connection with our license.

Fees generated in connection with the management of litigation are paid to us by the third-party funding source in support of the litigation seeking to enforce our intellectual property rights. Our agreement with the funding source provides that the funding source pays the litigation costs and provides that the funding source receives a percentage of the recovery, thus reducing our recovery in connection with any settlement of the litigation. As a result, in connection with litigation funded by the third party, we would, if the litigation is successful, receive fees both for managing the litigation and from a license of the intellectual property, which will be net of that portion of the recovery payable to the funding source. However, in negotiating with funding sources for future monetization programs, we may not receive any fees for managing litigation. In such cases, the funding source would only make payments to the law firm representing us, and the terms of our engagement of counsel would have to be satisfactory to the funding source.

To a lesser extent, we generate revenue from sale of packaging materials based on our TurtlePakTM technology. Our gross profit from sales reflects the cost of contract manufacturing and labor. We did not generate any revenue from the TurtlePakTM Portfolio other than from the sale of products using our technology.

On October 22, 2015, we acquired three patent portfolios from Intellectual Ventures, which we assigned to three newly-formed subsidiaries. We made the initial payment due to Intellectual Ventures with the proceeds of the sale of securities to United Wireless. Pursuant to our agreements with United Wireless:

- We sold to United Wireless 50,000,000 shares of common stock for \$250,000.
- We borrowed \$1,250,000 from United Wireless, for which we issued our 10% promissory notes due September 30, 2020. Of this amount, \$1,000,000 was paid directly to Intellectual Ventures as the initial installment of the purchase price of the patents we purchased from Intellectual Ventures, and \$250,000 was paid to us for working capital, including costs of the financing.

- 23 -

- We granted United Wireless an option to purchase a total of 50,000,000 shares of common stock.
- We entered into a monetization proceeds agreement pursuant to which we gave United Wireless a 15% interest in the net monetization proceeds, as defined in the agreement, generated from both the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and our financial data and mobile data portfolios, which continues as long as we receive revenue, whether from litigation or otherwise, from these patents.
- We granted United Wireless a security interest in the proceeds of the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and our financial data and mobile data portfolios and a pledge of the stock of the three subsidiaries that own the patents we acquired from Intellectual Ventures.
- In the event that certain events of default, which are called Conversion Eligible Events of Default, have occurred and are continuing on the date a \$1,000,000 payment is due to Intellectual Ventures, United Wireless shall have the obligation to make the payment to Intellectual Ventures, and immediately upon United Wireless' payment to Intellectual Ventures, we shall be deemed to have assigned to United Wireless or its designee ownership of the stock of the three subsidiaries that hold the patents we acquired from Intellectual Ventures, and our obligations on the notes, to the extent that the notes relate to the payment of the purchase price of the patents from Intellectual Ventures, terminate. Also, if a Conversion Eligible Event of Default occurs, the outstanding notes become convertible at a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing sale price of our common stock on the principal market on which the common stock is trading on the trading day immediately preceding the date the holder gives notice of conversion.

In addition to our obligation to increase our authorized common stock to 1,250,000,000, which we satisfied by amending our certificate of incorporation in January 2016, we agreed, in the event that, in the future, the number of authorized shares of common stock is not sufficient to enable the full conversion of the notes, the Company will have 135 days to increase the authorized common stock (either by an increase in authorized common stock, reverse split of a combination of an increase in authorized common stock and a reverse split) so that we continue to have sufficient shares of common stock for full conversion of the notes. The failure to do so is a Conversion Eligible Event of Default.

We granted United Wireless certain registration rights with respect to the shares issued at the closing, the shares issuable upon exercise of the purchase option and, if requested by the note holders, the common stock issuable upon conversion of the note if the notes become convertible. The shares issued at the closing and the shares issuable upon exercise of the purchase option were registered pursuant to a registration statement which was declared effective by the SEC on February 11, 2016.

As long as United Wireless' stockholdings exceed 10%, United Wireless has the right to designate one member of the board of directors and at such time and for as long as United Wireless' stockholdings exceed 24.9%, United Wireless may nominate a second director to the board. Unless a Conversion Eligible Event of Default shall have occurred, United Wireless agreed not to seek to elect a majority of the board for a period of at least three years from the closing date. We agreed that the size of the board would not exceed five.

Although United Wireless provided the funding for the acquisition of the patents from Intellectual Ventures, United Wireless did not provide any funds to enable us

to monetize these patents, either through litigation or otherwise. In order for us to generate any value from these patents, it will be necessary for us to engage counsel on a fully-contingent fee basis or obtain funding from a third party financing source that would provide us the necessary funds to monetize the patents, primarily through litigation, or a combination. Since patent litigation is uncertain, very expensive and typically takes many years before a settlement is reached (assuming a settlement can be negotiated) or a final judgment is obtained, the prospective counsel or financing source will have to evaluate the patent portfolios and the potential recovery to determine whether to agree to represent us or provide the funding, as the case may be. Unless we are able to successfully negotiate a retention agreement with counsel and/or a funding agreement with a financing source, it is not likely that we will generate any revenue from the patents we acquired from Intellectual Ventures. Further, we cannot assure you as to the success of any litigation relating to the patents.

- 24 -

Because of the possibility that, notwithstanding our increase of our common stock to 1,250,000,000 shares, our potential failure in the future to increase our authorized common stock as required by our agreements with United Wireless would result in the transfer to United of the stock of the subsidiaries that hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures, it is possible that prospective counsel or a potential funding source may not even begin to evaluate the Intellectual Ventures patents until it is satisfied that we have increased or will be able to increase our authorized common stock as required.

Because of the terms of our agreements with United Wireless, including the possibility that the notes may become convertible at a discount from market, it is very difficult for us to raise any funds in the equity or debt market. Our only potential source funds would be from funding sources who would finance litigation for one or more of our patent portfolios. Such funding sources would typically pay our litigation counsel and would only receive any funds if we are successful in the litigation, in which event the funding source would receive its compensation for providing the funding based on the a percentage of the recovery, as defined in the particular agreement.

At present, we are pursuing litigation with respect to our mobile data portfolio. We cannot estimate when or whether we will receive any revenue from this litigation.

If we are unable to monetize our patents, we cannot assure you that we will be able to pay the notes to United Wireless, which could result in our inability to continue in business and could result in our bankruptcy.

Results of Operations

Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014

The following table shows the components of revenue and gross profit from our three categories of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Year ended December 31,			
	2015		2014	
Revenues:				
Licensed sales	\$ 33,029	6.6%	\$ 22,732	2.4%
Patent licensing fees	215,000	43.2%	505,000	53.7%
Management fees	250,366	50.2%	412,915	43.9%
Total	498,395	100.0%	940,647	100.0%
Cost of revenues:				
Licensed sales	13,315	6.3%	10,073	2.7%
Patent service fees	112,144	53.4%	278,003	75.3%
Management fees	84,708	40.3%	80,967	21.9%
Total	210,167	100.0%	369,043	100.0%

Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 were approximately \$498,395, as compared with \$940,647 in 2014, a decrease of \$442,252, or approximately 47%. The decrease in 2015 reflects a decrease in patent licensing fees of \$290,000 and a decrease of approximately \$163,000 in management fees reflecting a decrease in payments we received from the third party funding source in connection with the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation, which commenced in 2014, which was offset by an increase of approximately \$10,000 in licensed sales. The patent license fees of \$505,000 in 2014 resulted from the settlements of Von Kohorn Portfolio litigation, as compared with \$215,000 in 2015. The management fees are based on the terms of the funding agreement and any license fees that we recognize as a result of the litigation are totally dependent upon the timing and success of the litigation and we cannot assure you that either our management fees will continue or that we will derive any further revenue from license fees from the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation.

Revenues were approximately \$498,000 for 2015 and approximately \$940,000 for 2014. Cost of revenues was approximate \$210,000 for 2015 as compared with approximately \$369,000 for 2014. Our cost of revenue includes expenses which we incurred in connection with the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation and royalties we pay in connection with license fees. Cost of revenues for 2015 includes approximately \$112,000 of royalties payable in connection with the Von Kohorn licenses, approximately \$85,000 for support services in connection with management of the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation, and approximately \$13,000 relating to TurtlePak™. Cost of revenues for 2014 includes approximately \$278,000 of royalties payable in connection with the Von Kohorn licenses, approximately \$81,000 for support services in connection with management of the Mobile Data Portfolio litigation, and approximately \$10,000 relating to TurtlePak™.

- 25 -

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the 2015 decreased by approximately \$151,000, or 21%, from approximately \$713,000 in 2014 to approximately \$562,000 in 2015. Our principal selling, general and administrative expense for 2015 and 2014 was executive compensation, which was approximately \$315,000 for 2015 and approximately \$589,500 for 2014. Executive compensation included \$63,000 of stock-based compensation in 2015. We did not incur stock-based compensation in 2014. Compensation for 2014 includes compensation to Mr. Jon C. Scahill, our chief executive officer, of \$252,000, and compensation for two former officers and directors through the date of their separation in October 2014, in the aggregate amount of \$337,500. Mr. Scahill waived compensation accrued prior to January 1, 2014. All compensation for the two former officers and directors through the date of their separation in October 2014 was accrued and not paid. Pursuant to separation agreements with the two former officers and directors, they waived all accrued compensation and other obligations which we had to them. As a result, accrued compensation obligations of \$337,500 for 2014 and \$650,000 for 2013 were cancelled during 2014. Because Mr. Scahill and the two former officers and directors are related parties, the cancelled obligations are treated as additional paid-in capital. Selling, general and administrative expenses in 2015 reflect a \$32,000 gain resulting from the settlement of an account payable for less than the amount previously accrued. We did not realize a gain on extinguishment in 2014.

Other expense consists primarily of interest expense of approximately \$52,000 in 2015 as compared with approximately \$20,000 in 2014.

As a result of the foregoing and after giving effect to the allocation of the net loss attributable to non-controlling interest in a subsidiary, we had a net loss of approximately \$328,000, or \$0.001 per share (basic and diluted) for 2015 compared to net loss of approximately \$162,000, or \$0.001 per share (basic and diluted), for 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2015, we had current assets of approximately \$379,000, current liabilities of approximately \$1,500,000. Our current liabilities include \$1,000,000 payable to Intellectual Ventures and loans payable of \$163,000 and accrued interest of \$232,614 due to former directors and minority stockholders. Our agreement with United Wireless requires United Wireless to lend us the funds to make the payments to Intellectual Ventures. As of December 31, 2015, we have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$14,425,000 and a negative working capital of approximately \$1,100,000. Other than salary to our chief executive officer, we do not contemplate any other material operating expense in the near future other than normal general and administrative expenses, including expenses relating to our status as a public company filing reports with the SEC.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in generating future revenues, in obtaining additional debt or equity financing or that such additional debt or equity financing will be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all, or that we will be able to obtain any third party funding in connection with any of our intellectual property portfolios. We have no credit facilities.

Historically, our only source of financing was loans from officers and directors. In October 2015, we entered into an agreement with United Wireless, pursuant to which we borrowed \$1,250,000 from United Wireless and United Wireless agreed to provide us with additional loans in the amount of \$3,000,000, as described under "Item 1. Business – Agreements with United Wireless."

We have an agreement with a funding source which is providing litigation financing in connection with our pending litigation relating to our mobile data portfolio. We are seeking funding with respect to one or more of the patent portfolios which we purchased from Intellectual Ventures; however, we cannot assure you that we will be able to negotiate such an agreement or that, if we are able to negotiate a funding agreement, we will generate any revenue from any litigation. Any agreement with a funding source would provide that the funding source will participate in any recovery which is generated. We believe that our financial condition, our history of losses and negative cash flow from operations, and our low stock price make it difficult for us to raise funds in the debt or equity markets.

- 26 -

As noted below, there is a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates including the allowance for doubtful accounts, the salability and recoverability of our products, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form our basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with US GAAP and present the financial statements of us and our wholly-owned subsidiary. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed pursuant to the terms of the arrangement, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable, and (iv) the collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

License Service Fees

In general, revenue arrangements provide for the payment of contractually determined fees in consideration for the grant of certain intellectual property rights for patented technologies owned or controlled by us. The intellectual property rights granted may be perpetual in nature, extending until the expiration of the related patents, or can be granted for a defined, relatively short period of time, with the licensee possessing the right to renew the agreement at the end of each contractual term for an additional minimum upfront payment. Pursuant to the terms of these agreements, we have no further obligation with respect to the grant of the non-exclusive retroactive and future licenses, covenants-not-to-sue, releases, and other deliverables, including no express or implied obligation on our part to maintain or upgrade the technology, or provide future support or services. Generally, the agreements provide for the grant of the licenses, covenants-not-to-sue, releases, and other significant deliverables upon execution of the agreement, or upon receipt of the minimum upfront payment for term agreement renewals. As such, the earnings process is complete and revenue is recognized upon the execution of the agreement, when collectability is reasonably assured, or upon receipt of the minimum upfront fee for the term agreement renewals, and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

Certain of our revenue arrangements provide for future royalties or additional required payments based on future licensee activities. Additional royalties are recognized in revenue upon resolution of the related contingency provided that all revenue recognition criteria, as described above, have been met. Amounts of additional royalties due under these license agreements, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated by management. Amounts related to revenue arrangements that do not meet the revenue recognition criteria described above are deferred until the revenue recognition criteria are met.

- 27 -

We assess the collectability of fees receivable based on a number of factors, including past transaction history and credit-worthiness of licensees. If it is determined that collection is not reasonably assured, the fee is recognized when collectability becomes reasonably assured, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria have been met, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Management Fees

In general, revenue arrangements provide for the payment of contractually determined fees and expenses in consideration for the management of structured licensing programs concerning intellectual property owned or controlled by us. The fee arrangement may continue for a set portion or all of the duration of the structure licensing program. Generally, the agreements provide for payment of the management fee within 7 days of the due date on our invoice. As such, the earnings process is complete and revenue is recognized upon the execution of the agreement, when collectability is reasonably assured, or upon receipt of the invoiced amount, and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

We assess the collectability of fees receivable based on a number of factors, including past transaction history and credit-worthiness of licensees. If it is determined

that collection is not reasonably assured, the fee is recognized when collectability becomes reasonably assured, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria have been met, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Licensed Packaging Sales

Our packaging operation customers are end users. Revenue, less reserves for returns, is recognized upon shipment to the customer.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable, which generally relate to licensed sales of the TurtlePak™ packaging system and management fees, are presented on the balance sheet net of estimated uncollectible amounts. The Company records an allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts in an amount approximating anticipated losses. Individual uncollectible accounts are written off against the allowance when collection of the individual accounts appears doubtful. The Company recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents which are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or statutory lives whichever is shorter and are reviewed for impairment upon any triggering event that may give rise to the assets ultimate recoverability as prescribed under the guidance related to impairment of long-lived assets. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent.

Patents include the cost of patents or patent rights (collectively "patents") acquired from third-parties or acquired in connection with business combinations. Patent acquisition costs are amortized utilizing the straight-line method over their remaining economic useful lives, ranging from one to ten years. Certain patent application and prosecution costs incurred to secure additional patent claims, that based on management's estimates are deemed to be recoverable, are capitalized and amortized over the remaining estimated economic useful life of the related patent portfolio.

- 28 -

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets. Whenever any such impairment exists, an impairment loss will be recognized for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value measurements which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 "Fair Value Option" was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value.

Stock-based Compensation

We account for share-based awards issued to employees in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation". Accordingly, employee share-based payment compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period, which is normally the vesting period. Share-based compensation to directors is treated in the same manner as share-based compensation to employees, regardless of whether the directors are also employees. We account for share-based compensation to persons other than employees in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50. Equity instruments issued to other than employees are valued at the earlier of a commitment date or upon completion of the services, based on the fair value of the equity instruments and is recognized as expense over the service period. We estimate the fair value of share-based payments using the Black Scholes option-pricing model for common stock options and warrants and the closing price of our common stock for common share issuances.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Management does not anticipate that the recently issued but not yet effective accounting pronouncements will materially impact our financial condition.

Going Concern

We have an accumulated deficit of \$14,425,448 and negative working capital of approximately \$1,100,000 as of December 31, 2015. Because of our continuing losses, our working capital deficiency, the uncertainty of future revenue, our low stock price and the absence of a trading market in our common stock, our ability to raise funds in equity market or from lenders is severely impaired. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. Although we may seek to raise funds and to obtain third party funding for litigation to enforce its intellectual property rights, the availability of such funds is uncertain, and our use of the funds from funding sources relating to the monetization of our intellectual property may not be available for working capital purposes. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

- 29 -

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unaffiliated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide the information under this item.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements start on Page F-1

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management's Conclusions Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" ("Disclosure Controls"), as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of December 31, 2015, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Disclosure Controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, who is the same person and our sole full-time employee. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, due to our limited internal audit function and our very limited staff, our disclosure controls were not effective as of December 31, 2015, such that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the chief executive officer/chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act. Our management is also required to assess and report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Section 404"). Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. During our assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, management identified material weaknesses related to (i) our internal audit functions (ii) inadequate levels of review of the financial statements and (iii) a lack of segregation of duties within accounting functions. Therefore, our internal controls over financial reporting were not effective as of December 31, 2015.

- 30 -

Management has determined that our internal controls contains material weaknesses due to insufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions as well as lack of qualified accounting personnel and excessive reliance on third party consultants for accounting, financial reporting and related activities. The lack of any separation of duties, with the same person, who is our only full time employee, serving as both chief executive officer and chief financial officer, makes it unlikely that we will be able to implement effective internal controls over financial reporting in the near future.

Due to our size and nature, segregation of all conflicting duties is not possible. However, to the extent possible, we plan to implement procedures to assure that the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions will be performed by separate individuals if and when we have sufficient income to enable us to hire such individuals, and we cannot give any assurance that we will be able to hire such personnel. Since we became engaged in the intellectual property management business in 2008 we have not had the financial resources to develop or implement systems that would provide us with the necessary information on a timely basis so as to be able to implement financial controls. Our financial condition makes it difficult for us to implement a system of internal controls over financial reporting.

We believe that the foregoing steps will, if implemented, remediate the material weaknesses identified above, and we will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these steps and make any changes that our management deems appropriate. However, until we can generate significantly greater revenues and employ additional accounting personnel, it is doubtful that we will be able to implement any system which provides us with any degree of internal controls over financial reporting. Due to the nature of this material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, there is more than a remote likelihood that misstatements which could be material to our annual or interim financial statements could not be prevented or detected.

A material weakness (within the meaning of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5) is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the company's financial reporting.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

During the period ended December 31, 2015, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

- 31 -

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following table presents information to our officers, directors:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Jon C. Scahill	39	Chief executive officer, president, acting chief financial officer, secretary and director
Timothy J. Scahill	48	Chief technology officer and director
Dr. William Ryall Carroll	40	Director

Prior to January 2016, our directors were elected to serve for a term of one year until our next annual meeting of the stockholders or unless he resigns earlier. On January 22, 2016, following approval by the stockholders, we amended and restated our certificate of incorporation. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides for a classified board of directors. Our classified board of directors has three classes of directors – Class I directors, Class II directors and Class III directors. The Class I director will initially have a term of one year, until the 2017 annual meeting, the Class II director will initially have a term of two years, until the 2018 annual meeting, and the Class III director will initially have a term of three years, until the 2019 annual meeting, in each case until his successor is elected and qualified. Thereafter, each director will have a term of three years, and at each annual meeting, our stockholders will only vote for the election of those directors whose term expires at that annual meeting. Jon C. Scahill is a Class I director, Timothy J. Scahill is a Class II director and Dr. William Ryall Carroll is a Class III director. The board of directors elects officers, who serve at the discretion of the board of directors.

Jon C. Scahill has been president and chief executive officer since January 2014 and a director since 2007. He was appointed secretary in April 2014. He also served as president and chief operating officer from May 2007 to December 2013. From December 2006 to May 2007, Mr. Scahill was founder and managing director of the Urban-Rigney Group, LLC, a private consultancy specializing in new business/new venture development, operations optimization, and strategic analysis. Prior to launching his consultancy business, Mr. Scahill held numerous positions in sales and marketing, technical management, and product development in the consumer products/flexible packaging arena. Mr. Scahill holds a B.S. in chemical engineering from the University of Rochester, an MBA in finance, strategy and operations from Rochester's Simon Graduate School of Business and a JD from Pace Law School. Mr. Scahill is admitted to practice in New York and Florida, and he is a registered patent attorney admitted to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Timothy J. Scahill has a director since October 2014 and our chief technology officer since 2007. Mr. Scahill is also currently a managing partner of Managed Services Team LLC, an IT services provider. Prior to Managed Services Team, he was president of Layer 8 Group, Inc. from August 2005 to December 2012, at which time Layer 8 merged with Structured Technologies Inc. to form Managed Services Team LLC. In his roles he has taken the responsibility for business strategy, acquisition, execution, as well as financial management. His entrepreneurial acumen and proven record of successful management with sole discretionary responsibility, demonstrate the scope of his capability and his value to delivering results. He serves on the boards of the Upstate New York Technology Council, is an investor in Greater Rochester Enterprise, Pariemus Rochester and also serves on the Corporate Advisory Board for Habitat for Humanity. He is a member of Greater Rochester Enterprise and CEO Roundtable Chair.

Dr. William Ryall Carroll has been a director since October 2014. Dr. Carroll has been associate professor and chairman of the marketing department, St. John's University College of Business since July 2014. From September 2008 until June 2014, Dr. Carroll was an assistant professor in the marketing department of St. John's University College of Business. Dr. Carroll is founder, chief executive officer and owner of Raiserve Inc., a web-based platform for monetizing non-profit programmatic work in the area of service formed in October 2014. Dr. Carroll's research focuses on consumer behavior and behavioral decision theory. Dr. Carroll's work has been published in top academic journals including the Journal of Advertising, Marketing Letters, as well in books such as Psycholinguistic Phenomena in Marketing Communications. In addition to his research Dr. Carroll has taught Marketing at the executive, graduate and undergraduate level across in the United States, Europe and Asia. Prior to pursuing his academic career, Dr. Carroll held various marketing positions at NOP Worldwide Marketing Research Company and Ralston Purina Company. Dr. Carroll earned his BA in Economics from the University of Rochester, his MS in Marketing Research from the University of Texas in Arlington, and his PhD from City University of New York – Baruch College.

Timothy J. Scahill and Jon C. Scahill are first cousins.

Director Independence

Dr. Carroll is an "independent" director based on the definition of independence in the listing standards of the NYSE.

- 32 -

Code of Ethics

We have not yet adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officers, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, since we have been focusing our efforts on developing our business. We expect to adopt a code as we develop our business.

Committees of the Board of Directors

We do not have any committees of our board of directors.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires executive officers and directors of issuers whose securities are registered pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the SEC initial statements of beneficial ownership, reports of changes in ownership and annual reports concerning their ownership of the our common stock and other equity securities, on Form 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Because our common stock is not registered pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act, our officers, directors and 10% stockholders are not required to make such filings.

ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following summary compensation table sets forth information concerning compensation for services rendered in all capacities during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, earned by or paid to our executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus Awards	Stock Awards	Options/Warrant Awards (1)	Non-Equity Plan Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
									(\$)
Jon Scahill, CEO and President	2015	252,000	-	63,000	-	-	-	-	315,000
	2014	252,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	252,000

Employment Agreements

Pursuant to the restated employment agreement, dated November 30, 2014, we agreed to employ Jon C. Scahill as president and chief executive officer for a term of three years, commencing January 1, 2014, and continuing on a year-to-year basis unless terminated by either party on not less than 90 days' notice prior to the expiration of the initial term or any one-year extension. The agreement provides for an annual salary of \$252,000, which may be increased, but not decreased, by the board or the compensation committee. In March 2016, the board of directors increased Mr. Scahill's annual salary to \$300,000, effective January 1, 2016. Mr. Scahill is

entitled to a bonus if we meet or exceed performance criteria established by the compensation committee. Mr. Scahill is also eligible to participate in any executive incentive plans which we may adopt. Pursuant to the agreement, we issued to Mr. Scahill warrants to purchase 60,000,000 shares, representing the warrants that had been previously covered in his prior employment agreement but which had never been issued, and we issued to Mr. Scahill a restricted stock grant for 30,000,000 shares which vested on January 15, 2015. In the event that we terminate Mr. Scahill's employment other than for cause or as a result of his death or disability, we will pay him severance equal to his salary for the balance of the term and, if he received a bonus for the previous year, an amount equal to that bonus, as well as continuation of his insurance benefits. Mr. Scahill also waived accrued compensation of \$1,167,705, representing his accrued salary for periods prior to January 1, 2014. The restated employment agreement also includes mutual general releases between Mr. Scahill and us. In March 2016, the board of directors permitted Mr. Scahill to devote a portion of his time on a part-time basis as a contract partner or counsel for a New York City law firm as long as such activities did not interfere with his duties as our president and chief executive officer.

- 33 -

Pension Benefits

We currently have no plans that provide for payments or other benefits at, following, or in connection with retirement of our officers.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information as to the outstanding equity awards granted to and held by the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table as of December 31, 2015.

The following table sets forth information as to the outstanding equity awards granted to and held by the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table as of December 31, 2015.

Name	Option awards				Stock awards				Equity incentive plan awards: Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$)
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable	Equity incentive plan awards: Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of shares of units of stock that have not vested (\$)	Equity incentive plan awards: Number of shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)	
Jon Scahill	15,000,000(1)			0.004	3/1/2018				
	30,000,000(2)								
	15,000,000(3)								

(1) On March 1, 2008, we issued to Mr. Scahill ten-year warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.004 per share. The warrants vested on issuance.

(2) On March 1, 2011, we issued to Mr. Scahill seven-year warrants to purchase 30,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.004 per share. The warrants vested on issuance.

(3) On March 1, 2013, we issued to Mr. Scahill five-year warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.004 per share. The warrants vested on issuance.

The warrants described above with respect to Mr. Scahill had not been issued at the time of his restated employment agreement. Pursuant to that agreement, we issued Mr. Scahill a warrant to purchase 60,000,000 shares on October 30, 2014.

Directors' Compensation

No director not named in the Summary Compensation Table received any compensation during 2015 or 2014.

- 34 -

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table provides information as to shares of common stock beneficially owned as of March 15, 2016, by:

- Each director;
- Each current officer named in the summary compensation table;
- Each person owning of record or known by us, based on information provided to us by the persons named below, at least 5% of our common stock; and
- All directors and officers as a group.

For purposes of the following table, "beneficial ownership" means the sole or shared power to vote, or to direct the voting of, a security, or sole or shared investment power with respect to a security, or any combination thereof, and the right to acquire such power (for example, through the exercise of warrants granted by us) within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

Amount and
Nature of

Name and Address(1) of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership	% of Class
Jon C. Scahill (2)	91,000,000	24.4%
United Wireless Holdings, Inc. 301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1274 Austin, TX 78701	50,000,000	16.0%
Tomas Arce 3463 State Street Suite 327 Santa Barbara, CA 93105	25,700,000	8.2%
Herbert Reichlin (3) 19 Fortune Lane Jericho, New York 11573	16,316,000	5.1%
Dr. William Ryall Carroll	484,633	*
Timothy J. Scahill	105,000	*
All officers and directors as a group (three individuals)	91,489,633	24.5%

* less than 1%.

- (1) The address of Mr. Jon C. Scahill, Dr. Carroll and Mr. Timothy J. Scahill is c/o Quest Patent Research Corporation, 411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206S, Rye, New York 10580-1411.
- (2) The shares beneficially owned by Mr. Jon Scahill represent (a) 31,000,000 shares of common stock owned by him, and (b) 60,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of a warrant at an exercise price of \$0.004 per share through March 1, 2018.
- (3) The shares beneficially owned by Mr. Reichlin include 5,000,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants.

- 35 -

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Related Transactions

As a result of the sale to United Wireless of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, representing 16.0% of our common stock and its right to name a director, United Wireless may be deemed to be a related party as of December 31, 2015. United Wireless had no relationship with us prior to the closing of the securities purchase agreement and related agreements in October 2015. See "Item 1. Business – Agreements with United Wireless" for information concerning our agreements with and obligations to United Wireless.

Managed Services Team LLC, an entity for which by Timothy Scahill, our chief technology officer and a director, is a managing partner, provides information technology services to us. We are obligated to pay for these services at usual and customary rates. In 2015, the cost of these services was approximately \$2,350.

Director Independence

Dr. Carroll is an "independent" directors based on the definition of independence in the listing standards of the NYSE.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table sets forth the fees billed by our independent accountants, Malone Bailey, LLP, for each of our last two fiscal years for the categories of services indicated.

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31	
	2015	2014
Audit fees	\$ 22,500	\$ 21,000
Audit – related fees	0	0
Tax fees	0	0
All other fees	1,500	0

Audit fees consist of fees related to professional services rendered in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements.

All other fees relate to professional services rendered in connection with the review of the quarterly financial statements and our registration statement.

Our policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services performed by the independent accountants. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Under our audit committee's policy, pre-approval is generally provided for particular services or categories of services, including planned services, project based services and routine consultations. In addition, the audit committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis. Our board approved all services that our independent accountants provided to us in the past two fiscal years.

- 36 -

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company.(5)
3.2	Bylaws of the Company. (3)
10.1	Restated Employment Agreement dated as of November 30, 2014 between the issuer and between the Company and Jon C. Scahill. (1)
10.2	Restricted Stock Grant dated October 30, 2014 between the Company and Jon C. Scahill. (1)
10.3	License Agreement dated March 26, 2008 between the Company and Emerging Technologies Trust. (1)
10.4	Licensing Services Agreement dated July 10, 2008 between the Company and Balthasar Online, Inc. (1)
10.5	Patent Purchase Agreement dated December 21, 2009 between Company and Intertech Holdings, LLC. (1)
10.6	Consulting Agreement dated August 11, 2010 between the Company and Alex W. Hart.(1)
10.7	Agreement dated February 8, 2011 between the Company and Sol Li. (1)
10.8	Agreement dated June 26, 2013 between the Company and The Betting Service Ltd. and Neil Riches.(1)
10.9	Funding Agreement dated March 13, 2014 between the Company and Longford Capital Fund I, LP, (subject to order granting confidential treatment (1))#
10.10	Agreement dated April 1, 2014 between the Company and Allied Standard Limited. (1)
10.11	Form of warrant issued to former officers and directors.(1)
10.12	Form of warrant issued to Mr. Jon C. Scahill. (1)
10.13	Indemnification agreement, dated December 8, 2014 between the Company and Jon C. Scahill. (4)
10.14	Indemnification agreement, dated December 8, 2014 between the Company and Timothy J. Scahill. (4)
10.15	Indemnification agreement, dated December 8, 2014 between the Company and Dr. William Ryall Carroll. (4)
10.16	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2015 among the Company, Quest Licensing Corporation, Wynn Technologies, Inc., Mariner IC Inc., Semcon IP Inc., IC Kinetics Inc. and United Wireless Holdings, Inc.(2)
10.17	Promissory note due September 30, 2020 issued by the Company in the principal amount of \$1,250,000.(2)
10.18	Monetization Proceeds Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2015 among the Company, Quest Licensing Corporation, Wynn Technologies, Inc., Mariner IC Inc., Semcon IP Inc., IC Kinetics Inc. and United Wireless Holdings, Inc.(2)
10.19	Patent Proceeds Security Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2015 among the Company, Quest Licensing Corporation, Wynn Technologies, Inc., Mariner IC Inc., Semcon IP Inc., IC Kinetics Inc. and United Wireless Holdings, Inc.(2)
10.20	Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2015 between the Company and United Wireless Holdings, Inc.(2)
10.21	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2016 between the Company and United Wireless Holdings, Inc.(2)
10.22	Patent Sale Agreement, effective July 8, 2015 between Intellectual Ventures Assets 16 LLC and the Company.(2)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive and Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which was filed by the Company on December 15, 2014.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K, which was filed with the SEC on October 28, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K, which was filed with the SEC on February 25, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as exhibit to Amendment No. 1 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-1, which was filed with the SEC on February 3, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K, which was filed with the SEC on January 26, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference.

Certain portions of this exhibit are omitted pursuant to an order granting confidential treatment. The omitted information has been filed separately with the SEC.

- 37 -

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: April 1, 2016

QUEST PATENT RESEARCH CORPORATION

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill
Name: Jon C. Scahill
Title: Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. Each person whose signature appears below hereby authorizes Jon C. Scahill as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/s/ Jon C. Scahill</u> Jon C. Scahill	Director, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer and president (principal executive, financial and accounting officer)	April 1, 2016
<u>/s/ Timothy J. Scahill</u> Timothy J. Scahill	Director	April 1, 2016
<u>/s/ Dr. William Ryall Carroll</u> Dr. William Ryall Carroll	Director	April 1, 2016

- 38 -

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
 Quest Patent Research Corporation
 Rye, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Quest Patent Research Corporation (a Delaware Corporation) and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Quest Patent Research Corporation, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2, the Company has incurred a series of net losses resulting in negative working capital as of December 31, 2015. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ MaloneBailey, LLP
www.malonebailey.com
 Houston, Texas
 April 1, 2016

F-2

Quest Patent Research Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 331,506	\$ 91,690
Accounts receivable	41,552	22,500
Other current assets	5,924	1,662
Total current assets	378,982	115,852
Patents, net	2,318,731	-
Total assets	\$ 2,697,713	\$ 115,852
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 69,884	\$ 90,869
Loans payable – third party	163,000	163,000
Purchase price of patents, current portion	1,000,000	-
Accrued interest - loans payable third party	232,614	216,314
Total current liabilities	1,465,498	470,183
Non-current liabilities		
Loan payable – related party, net of unamortized discount and debt issuance costs of \$687,754 and \$0	586,562	-
Purchase price of patents, long term – net of unamortized discount of \$173,769 and \$0	826,231	-
Total liabilities	2,878,291	470,183
Stockholders' deficit		

Preferred stock – Par Value \$0.00003 – authorized 10,000,000 shares – no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.00003; authorized 1,250,000,000 shares and 390,000,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively; shares issued and outstanding 313,038,334 and 233,038,334, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	9,391	6,991
Additional paid-in capital	14,232,882	13,734,259
Accumulated deficit	(14,425,448)	(14,097,496)
Total Quest Patent Research Corporation deficit	(183,175)	(356,246)
Non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	2,597	1,915
Total stockholders' deficit	(180,578)	(354,331)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 2,697,713	\$ 115,852

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-3

Quest Patent Research Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Licensed sales	\$ 33,029	\$ 22,732
Patent licensing fees	215,000	505,000
Management fees	250,366	412,915
	<u>498,395</u>	<u>940,647</u>
Operating expenses		
Cost of revenues:		
Cost of sales	13,315	10,073
Royalties	112,144	278,003
Management support services	84,708	80,967
Selling, general and administrative expenses	561,937	712,540
	<u>772,104</u>	<u>1,081,583</u>
Total operating expenses		
	<u>772,104</u>	<u>1,081,583</u>
Loss from operations	<u>(273,709)</u>	<u>(140,936)</u>
Other expense		
Income tax	(1,719)	(1,008)
Interest expense	(51,842)	(20,387)
Total other expense	<u>(53,561)</u>	<u>(21,395)</u>
Net loss	(327,270)	(162,331)
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	(682)	806
Net Loss Attributable to Quest Patent Research Corporation	<u>\$ (327,952)</u>	<u>\$ (161,525)</u>
Earnings (loss) per share – Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)
Weighted average shares outstanding – Basic and Diluted	271,394,498	233,038,334

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-4

Quest Patent Research Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Deficit	Non- controlling Interest in Subsidiaries	Total Stockholders' Deficit
	Shares	Amount				
Balances as of December 31, 2013	233,038,334	\$ 6,991	\$ 9,572,279	\$ (13,931,134)	\$ 2,721	\$ (4,349,143)
Consolidation of subsidiary	-	-	(10,516)	(4,837)	-	(15,353)
Settlement of accrued salaries and expense to officers and directors	-	-	4,172,496	-	-	4,172,496
Net loss	-	-	-	(161,525)	(806)	(162,331)
Balances as of December 31, 2014	233,038,334	\$ 6,991	\$ 13,734,259	\$ (14,097,496)	\$ 1,915	\$ (354,331)
Compensation expense relating to restricted stock grant	30,000,000	900	62,100	-	-	63,000
Sale of Common Stock	50,000,000	1,500	248,500	-	-	250,000
Discount on debt	-	-	188,023	-	-	188,023
Net Loss	-	-	-	(327,952)	682	(327,270)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-5

Quest Patent Research Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (327,270)	\$ (162,331)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Consolidation of subsidiary	-	(4,837)
Amortization of debt discount	11,227	-
Share-based compensation	63,000	-
Depreciation and amortization	57,500	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accrued interest expense	24,316	-
Accrued expense – related party	-	237,500
Accounts receivable	(19,052)	(18,282)
Accounts receivable – affiliates	-	5,295
Other current assets	(4,262)	(1,662)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(4,685)	35,848
Net cash from (used) in operating activities	(199,226)	91,531
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from loans, net of debt issue cost of \$60,958	189,042	-
Sale of common stock	250,000	-
Net cash from investing activities	439,042	-
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	239,816	91,531
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	91,690	159
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 331,506	\$ 91,690
Non Cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Accrued salary capital contribution	\$ -	\$ 4,172,496
Accounts payable for patent purchase	2,000,000	-
Discounts on patents	173,769	-
Loan proceeds paid directly from lender to seller of patents	1,000,000	-
Discount on debt - \$450,000 being an allocation of the patent cost and \$188,023 being an allocation of the additional purchase options	638,023	-
Reclassification of loan payable from Loans payable - Officer/Directors to Loans payable – third party	-	25,000
Reconsolidation of subsidiary	-	10,516
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Income Taxes	\$ 1,719	\$ 1,008
Interest	-	-

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-6

Quest Patent Research Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Company is a Delaware corporation, incorporated on July 17, 1987 and has been engaged in the intellectual property monetization business since 2008.

As used herein, the “Company” refers to Quest Patent Research Corporation and its wholly and majority-owned and controlled operating subsidiaries unless the context indicates otherwise. All intellectual property acquisition, development, licensing and enforcement activities are conducted by the Company’s wholly and majority-owned and controlled operating subsidiaries.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIESPrinciples of consolidation and financial statement presentation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“US GAAP”) and present the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of:

Quest Patent Research Corporation (“The Company”)
Quest Licensing Corporation (NY) (1)
Quest Licensing Corporation (DE) (wholly owned)
Quest Packaging Solutions Corporation (90% owned)
Quest Nettech Corporation (wholly owned)
Semcon IP, Inc. (wholly owned)
Mariner IC, Inc. (wholly owned)
IC Kinetics, Inc. (wholly owned)

- (1) Quest Licensing Corporation (NY), a New York corporation, was a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company through October 31, 2012 when 50% of its issued and outstanding shares were transferred to Allied Standard Limited. The Company reconsolidated Quest Licensing Corporation (NY) on April 1, 2014 when Allied Standard relinquished its entitlement to a 50% interest in Quest Licensing Corporation (NY), in exchange for the Company’s commitment to fund a structured licensing program for the Mobile Data Portfolio (see Note 1). Between October 31, 2012 and April 1, 2014, the Company did not include Quest Licensing Corporation (NY) in its consolidated financial statements since there were significant contingencies related to the control of Quest Licensing Corporation.

The operations of Wynn Technologies Inc. are not included in the Company’s consolidated financial statements as there are significant contingencies related to its control of Wynn Technologies Inc. The sole asset of Wynn Technologies Inc. is US Patent No. RE38,137E. Wynn Technologies Inc. cannot transfer, assign, sell, hypothecate or otherwise encumber US Patent No. RE38,173E without the express written consent of Sol Li, owner of 35% of Wynn Technologies Inc., unless, as of the date of such transfer, assignment, sale, hypothecation or other encumbrance, Mr. Li has received a total of at least \$250,000.

The Company accounts for its 65% interest in Wynn Technologies, Inc. under the equity method whereby the investment accounts are increased for contributions by the Company plus its 60% share of income pursuant to the contractual agreement which provide that Sol Li retains 40% of the income, and reduced for distributions and its 60% share of losses incurred, respectively, with the restriction whereby the account balances cannot go below zero.

Significant intercompany transaction and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

F-7

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturity dates of three months or less when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable, which generally relate to licensed sales of the TurtlePakTM packaging system and management fees, are presented on the balance sheet net of estimated uncollectible amounts. The Company records an allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts in an amount approximating anticipated losses. Individual uncollectible accounts are written off against the allowance when collection of the individual accounts appears doubtful. The Company recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents which are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or statutory lives whichever is shorter and are reviewed for impairment upon any triggering event that may give rise to the assets ultimate recoverability as prescribed under the guidance related to impairment of long-lived assets. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent.

Patents include the cost of patents or patent rights (hereinafter, collectively “patents”) acquired from third-parties or acquired in connection with business combinations. Patent acquisition costs are amortized utilizing the straight-line method over their remaining economic useful lives, ranging from one to ten years. Certain patent application and prosecution costs incurred to secure additional patent claims, that based on management’s estimates are deemed to be recoverable, are capitalized and amortized over the remaining estimated economic useful life of the related patent portfolio.

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets, including intangible assets with a finite life, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets. Whenever any such impairment exists, an impairment loss will be recognized for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value. No impairment was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Fair value of financial instruments

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy is used which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

F-8

The fair value hierarchy based on the three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

The carrying value reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and short-term borrowings approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed pursuant to the terms of the arrangement, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and, (iv) the collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

License Service Fees

Generally, the agreements provide for the grant of the licenses, covenants-not-to-sue, releases, and other significant deliverables upon execution of the agreement, or upon receipt of the minimum upfront payment for term agreement renewals. As such, the earnings process is complete and revenue is recognized upon the execution of the agreement, when collectability is reasonably assured, or upon receipt of the minimum upfront fee for the term agreement renewals, and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

Certain of the Company's revenue arrangements provide for future royalties or additional required payments based on future licensee activities. Additional royalties are recognized in revenue upon resolution of the related contingency provided that all revenue recognition criteria, as described above, have been met. Amounts of additional royalties due under these license agreements, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated by management.

Amounts related to revenue arrangements that do not meet the revenue recognition criteria described above are deferred until the revenue recognition criteria are met.

The Company assesses the collectability of fees receivable based on a number of factors, including past transaction history and credit-worthiness of licensees. If it is determined that collection is not reasonably assured, the fee is recognized when collectability becomes reasonably assured, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria have been met, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Management Fees

Generally, the agreements provide for payment of the management fee within 7 days of the due date on the invoice. As such, the earnings process is complete and revenue is recognized upon the execution of the agreement, when collectability is reasonably assured, or upon receipt of the invoiced amount, and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

The Company assesses the collectability of fees receivable based on a number of factors, including past transaction history and credit-worthiness of licensees. If it is determined that collection is not reasonably assured, the fee is recognized when collectability becomes reasonably assured, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria have been met, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Licensed Packaging Sales

The Company's packaging operation customers are end users. Shipment terms are generally FOB shipping point. Revenues from packaging sales, less reserves for returns, are recognized upon shipment to the customer.

Research and development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We did not incur any research and development costs in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future income tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or income tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

In evaluating the ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax assets will be realized. Management establishes a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred income tax assets will not be utilized. The ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets is dependent on the generation of future taxable income, which must occur prior to the expiration of the net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company also follows the guidance related to accounting for income tax uncertainties. In accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. No liability for unrecognized tax benefits was recorded as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Share-based compensation

The Company accounts for share-based awards issued to employees in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation". Accordingly, employee share-based payment compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period, which is normally the vesting period. Share-based compensation to directors is treated in the same manner as share-based compensation to employees, regardless of whether the directors are also employees. The Company accounts for share-based compensation to persons other than employees in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50. Equity instruments issued to other than employees are valued at the earlier of a commitment date or upon completion of the services, based on the fair value of the equity instruments and is recognized as expense over the service period. The Company estimates the fair value of share-based payments using the Black Scholes option-pricing model for common stock options and warrants and the closing price of the Company's common stock for common stock issuances.

Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if our share-based awards and convertible securities were exercised or converted into common stock. The dilutive effect of our share-based awards is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes all share-based awards are exercised and the hypothetical proceeds from exercise are used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. The incremental shares (difference between shares assumed to be issued versus purchased), to the extent they would have been dilutive, are included in the denominator of the diluted earnings per share calculation. Because the Company incurred losses in all period covered by the financial statements and would be anti-dilutive, the diluted earnings per shares is the same as the basic earnings per share. The 115,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants and options are excluded from the computation of loss per share because the result would have been antidilutive.

Concentration of credit risk

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any such losses in these accounts. Approximately 50% of revenues for 2015 and approximately 44% of revenues for 2014 represented management fees received from the funding source relating to certain intellectual property infringement actions.

Segment reporting

The Company reports each material operating segment in accordance with ASC 280, "Segment Reporting". Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is the chief executive officer. The Company operates in two operational segments; intellectual property licensing and licensed packaging sales. Licensed packaging sales segment is not reported separately as revenue constitutes less than 10% of the combined revenue of all segments, reported profit is less than the combined profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss, and assets are less than 10% of the combined assets of all operating segments. Certain corporate expenses are not allocated to segments.

Recently adopted accounting standards

Management does not anticipate that the recently issued but not yet effective accounting pronouncements will materially impact the Company's financial condition.

Going Concern

During the period from 2008, when the Company changed its business to become an intellectual property management company, through 2015, the Company generated a cumulative loss of approximately \$14,425,000. The Company's total current assets were approximately \$379,000 at December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015, the Company had a working capital deficiency of approximately \$1,100,000, and it had negative working capital at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The Company requires funding for its operations. Because of the Company's continuing losses, the working capital deficiency, the uncertainty of future revenue, the Company's low stock price and the absence of a trading market in its common stock, the ability of the Company to raise funds in equity market or from lenders is severely impaired, and there exists substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. Although the Company may seek to raise funds and to obtain third party funding for litigation to enforce its intellectual property rights, the availability of such funds is uncertain. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

NOTE 3 – SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table shows the Company's short-term and long-term debt at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Short term debt:		
Loans payable – third party	\$ 163,000	\$ 163,000
Long term debt:		
Loans payable – related party		
Gross	1,250,000	0
Accrued Interest	24,316	0
Unamortized discount	(687,754)	0
Net loans payable – related party	\$ 586,562	\$ 0

Short term debt

The loan payable – third party is a demand loan made by former officers and directors, now unrelated third parties, and shareholders in the amount of \$163,000. The loans are payable on demand plus accrued interest at 10% per annum.

Long-term debt

The loan payable at December 31, 2015 represents the principal amount of the Company's 10% note to United Wireless due September 30, 2020, in the amount of \$1,250,000 pursuant to securities purchase agreement dated October 22, 2015. Because of its stock ownership in the Company and its right to elect a director of the Company, United Wireless is treated as a related party. Prior to the consummation of the transactions described in this Note 3, the Company had no relationship with United Wireless.

Interest on the note, along with interest on all notes issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement, accrues through September 30, 2018, with accrued interest being added to principal on September 30, 2016, 2017 and 2018. Accordingly, the accrued interest is included in loans payable, related party.

Pursuant to the securities purchase agreement and the related agreements that were executed contemporaneously with the securities purchase agreement:

- The Company borrowed \$1,250,000 from United Wireless, for which the Company issued its 10% promissory note due September 30, 2020, of which \$1,000,000 was paid by United Wireless directly to Intellectual Ventures Assets 16, LLC ("Intellectual Ventures") to pay the initial installment of the purchase price of the patents purchased from Intellectual Venture (see Note 5), and the balance was paid to the Company.
- The Company sold United Wireless 50,000,000 shares of common stock for \$250,000.
- The Company granted United Wireless an option to purchase 50,000,000 shares of common stock at varying exercise prices. See Note 5.
- United Wireless agreed to made additional loans to the Company in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,000,000, of which \$2,000,000 was to be used to pay the balance of the purchase price of intellectual property which the Company purchased from Intellectual Ventures and the balance for working capital. United Wireless is required to make the loans to pay the balance of the purchase price of the intellectual property regardless of whether the Company is in compliance with its obligations under the note and the securities purchase agreement.
- If the Company is in default under certain covenants in the notes, which defaults are called Conversion Eligible Events of Default, and such defaults are continuing on the date a \$1,000,000 payment is due to Intellectual Ventures, United Wireless has the obligation to make the payment, and immediately upon the United Wireless' payment to Intellectual Ventures, the Company shall be deemed to have assigned, transferred and conveyed to United Wireless and/or its nominee full, absolute and unconditional title to and ownership of the stock of three subsidiaries that hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures, and our obligations on the notes including the conversion rights, to the extent that the notes relate to the payment of the purchase price of the

patents from Intellectual Venture, terminate, and United Wireless will have no further obligation to make working capital loans to us. Conversion Eligible Events of Default include the breach of selected representations and warranties and covenants contained in the securities purchase agreement and the note, including our failure to pay principal of any note or interest and other charges in excess of \$100,000.

- The entered into a monetization proceeds agreement pursuant to which United Wireless received the right to receive 15% of the net monetization proceeds received from (a) the patents acquired by the Company from Intellectual Ventures and (b) the patents in the Company's mobile data and financial data intellectual property portfolios.

F-12

- The Company's obligations under the agreements with United Wireless, including the notes and the monetization proceeds agreement, are secured by a pledge of the stock of the three subsidiaries that hold the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and by the proceeds from the intellectual property represented by (i) the patents acquired from Intellectual Ventures and (ii) the intellectual property in the mobile data and financial data portfolios.
- Although the notes have no conversion rights, if a Conversion Eligible Event of Default occurs, the notes become convertible at a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the principal market on which the common stock is trading on the trading day immediately preceding the date the holder gives notice of conversion. Management has evaluated the conversion feature for derivative accounting consideration under ASC Topic 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging – Contracts in Entity's Own Stock and concluded that it does not meet the criteria for classification in stockholders' equity. Therefore, derivative accounting will be applicable for the conversion feature if a conversion eligible event of default occurs.
- The Company has agreed that, as long as United's stockholding in the Company exceed 10%, United has the right to designate one member of the board of directors and at such time and for as long as United's stockholdings exceed 24.9%, United may nominate a second director to the board. Unless a Conversion Eligible Event of Default shall have occurred, United agreed not to seek to elect a majority of the board for a period of at least three years from the closing date. The Company agreed that the size of the board would not exceed five.
- The holders of the notes also have the right to demand redemption of the notes at 110% of the principal amount of the note in the event of a change of control of the Company.

The fair value of the options (see Note 4) granted to United Wireless was \$220,000.

Management has evaluated the option for derivative accounting consideration under ASC Topic 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging – Contracts in Entity's Own Stock and concluded that the option meets the criteria for classification in stockholders' equity. Therefore, derivative accounting is not applicable for the option.

The fair value of the investment proceeds was allocated among the notes, common stock, and options as follows:

Relative fair value of options	\$	191,860
Relative fair value of stock	\$	218,024
Relative fair value of note payable	\$	1,090,116

In connection with the funding which the Company obtained from United Wireless to purchase the patents, the Company entered into a monetization agreement with United Wireless pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay United Wireless 15% of the net monetization proceeds from the patents acquired in October 2015 and the intellectual property in the Company's mobile data and financial data portfolios. This obligation was recorded as 15% of the purchase price of the patents, or \$450,000, and is reflected as net monetization obligations. The Company granted to United Wireless a security interest in the stock of the three subsidiaries which own the patents acquired in October 2015 and the proceeds from these patents and the intellectual property in the Company's mobile data and financial data portfolios as security for the Company's obligations to United Wireless.

The allocation of proceeds resulted in a discount from the note payable of \$188,023. In addition the Company recognized a discount associated with the monetization agreement of \$450,000. These discounts and debt issuance costs of \$60,598, total \$698,981, will be amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the notes using the effective interest rate method. As of December 31, 2015, \$11,227 of the discount and debt issuance cost have been amortized. The effective interest rate on the notes, including the discount, is 33%.

F-13

Future minimum debt payments
Year ending December 31:

2015	\$	0
2016		0
2017		0
2018		0
2019		0
2020		1,250,000
Total minimum payments required	\$	1,250,000

NOTE 4 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Increase in Authorized Common Stock

On January 22, 2016, the Company amended and restated its certificate of incorporation to increase its authorized common stock from 390,000,000 shares to 1,250,000,000 shares. The Company's financial statements at December 31, 2015 reflect this increase.

Issuance of Common Stock and Options

On October 22, 2015, pursuant to a securities purchase agreement with United Wireless Holdings, Inc., the Company (i) issued 50,000,000 shares of common stock to United Wireless for \$250,000, or \$0.005 per share, and (ii) granted United Wireless an option to purchase a total of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, with exercise prices of \$0.01 per share as to 16,666,667 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2016 through September 30, 2020, \$0.03 per share as to 16,666,667 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2020, and \$0.05 per share as to 16,666,666 shares, which may be exercised from September 30, 2018 through September 30, 2020. The Company valued the purchase option at \$220,000 using the Black-Scholes pricing model. Variables used in the valuation include (1) discount rate of 1.36%; (2) warrant life of 5 years; (3) expected volatility of 721% and (4) zero expected dividends. The proceeds were allocated to the debt based on the relative fair value. The issuance was part of a transaction pursuant to which United Wireless made a loan to the Company of \$1,250,000, and agreed to make additional loans in the aggregate amount of \$3,000,000. See Note 3. In connection with the issuance of the shares, the Company granted United Wireless registration rights with respect to the shares of common stock issued to United Wireless and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the option.

Although the notes issued to United Wireless, which are described in Note 3, have no conversion rights, if a Conversion Eligible Event of Default occurs, the notes become convertible at a conversion price equal to 90% of the closing sale price of our common stock on the principal market on which the common stock is trading

on the trading day immediately preceding the date the holder gives notice of conversion. We also agreed to give United Wireless registration rights with respect to shares issuable upon such conversion.

Pursuant to the restated employment agreement dated November 30, 2014, the Company issued to its chief executive officer a stock grant for 30,000,000 shares which vested on January 15, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized compensation expense of \$63,000, representing closing price of the common stock on the vesting date.

As of December 31, 2015, there was no unamortized option expense associated with compensatory options.

F-14

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options and changes is set forth below:

	Number of Options (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Balance - December 31, 2013	5,000,000	0.001	1.75
Granted	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2014	5,000,000	0.001	0.75
Granted	50,000,000	0.03	4.75
Cancelled	-	-	-
Expired	5,000,000	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2015	50,000,000	0.03	4.75
Options exercisable at end of year	-	-	-
Weighted average fair value of options granted during period	50,000,000	0.004	

The option for 50,000,000 shares granted during the period was granted to a lender as discussed above.

Warrants

Pursuant to the restated employment agreement with the Company's chief executive officer, the Company granted to the chief executive officer warrants to purchase 60,000,000 shares at \$0.004 per share, representing the warrants that had been previously covered in his prior employment agreement dated January 1, 2014. These warrants are deemed to have been outstanding since January 1, 2014.

As of December 31, 2015, there was no unamortized warrant expense.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock warrants and changes is set forth below:

	Number of Warrants (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Balance - December 31, 2013	75,000,000	0.0038	3.9
Granted	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2014	75,000,000	0.0038	2.9
Granted	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Expired	10,000,000	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2015	65,000,000	0.004	2.3
Warrants exercisable at end of year	65,000,000	0.004	-

F-15

NOTE 5 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets include patents purchased and are recorded based at their acquisition cost. Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	December 31,		Weighted average amortization period (years)
	2015	2014	
Patents	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 0	9.8
Less: accumulated amortization	(57,500)	0	
Imputed interest	(173,769)		

net monetization obligations	(450,000)	0
Net value of intangible assets	\$ 2,318,731	\$ 0

Intangible assets are comprised of patents with estimated useful lives. The intangible assets at December 31, 2015 represent patents acquired in October 2015 for a purchase price of \$3,000,000 of which \$1,000,000 was paid in October 2015 from the proceeds of the loan from United Wireless, and \$1,000,000 is payable in each of November 2016 and November 2017. The useful lives of the patents, at the date of purchase, was 10 years. The Company amortizes the costs of intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent. Amortization of patents is included as an operating expense as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

As discussed in Note 3, 15% of the proceeds from these patents will be paid to our lender, United Wireless. This monetization obligation was recognized as a discount to the loan and will be amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

The Company granted Intellectual Ventures a security interest in the patents transferred to the Company as security for the payment of the balance of the purchase price. The security interest of Intellectual Ventures is senior to the security interest of United Wireless in the proceeds derived from such patents.

The balance of the purchase price of the patents is reflected as follows:

Current Liabilities:		
Purchase price of patents, current portion		\$ 1,000,000
Non-current liabilities:		
Purchase price of patents, long term		\$ 1,000,000
Imputed interest		(173,769)
Effective interest rate of Amortized over 2 years		9.2%

Because the payment amount of \$1,000,000 is due in two years, the Company imputed interest of 10% and the interest will be accreted up to the maturity date.

The Company assesses intangible assets for any impairment to the carrying values. As of December 31, 2015 management concluded that there was no impairment to the acquired assets. At December 31, 2014, the book value of the Company's intellectual property was \$0.

F-16

Amortization expense for patents comprised \$57,500 for the year ended December 31, 2015. Future amortization of intangible assets is as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
2016		\$ 237,623
2017		237,623
2018		237,623
2019		237,623
2020 and thereafter		1,368,239
Total		\$ 2,318,731

NOTE 6 – NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST

The following table reconciles equity attributable to the non-controlling interest related to Quest Packaging Solutions Corporation.

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,915	\$ 2,721
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest	\$ 682	\$ (806)
Balance, end of year	\$ 2,597	\$ 1,915

NOTE 7 – INCOME TAXES

The Company uses the liability method, where deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and income tax reporting purposes. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has generated approximately \$3,760,285 of net operating loss ("NOL") carry forwards which will expire in the years 2023 through 2035. Internal Revenue Code section 382 ("Section 382") restricts the use of these net operating losses in future periods if the Company has a "substantial change in ownership" as defined by Section 382. The Company has had significant equity transactions in both the current and prior periods. Due to this equity activity and the restrictions resulting under Section 382, most of the Company's NOLs may not be available to offset future taxable income. The Company has fully reserved the deferred tax asset resulting from the net operating loss carry forwards.

Deferred tax asset consisted primarily of the following:

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net operating loss carry forward	\$ 1,504,114	\$ 1,456,684
Valuation allowance	\$ (1,504,114)	\$ (1,456,684)
Balance, end of year	\$ -	\$ -

Tax expense consisted primarily of the following:

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Federal	\$ -	\$ -
State	1,719	1,008
Deferred	-	-
Total	\$ 1,719	\$ 1,008

The Company's tax expense does not reflect the statutory rate since the Company's deferred tax asset is fully offset by a valuation allowance. The statute of limitations is open for the tax years ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter.

NOTE 8 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has at various times entered into transactions with related parties, including officers, directors and major shareholders, wherein these parties have provided services, advanced or loaned money, or both, to the Company needed to support its daily operations. The Company discloses all related party transactions.

During 2003, the Company received loans from then officers and directors, now unrelated third parties, in the amount of \$79,490. The loans are payable on demand plus accrued interest at 10% per annum. During 2014, all loan holders ceased to be related parties as a result of employee separation agreements and director resignations. As a result, in 2014, approximately \$54,490 in principal on the loans was cancelled pursuant to a separation agreement and \$25,000 in principal was reclassified as loans payable to third parties upon resignation of the loan holder from the board.

See Notes 4 and 5 in connection with transactions with United Wireless.

See Note 9 with respect to the employment agreement with the Company's president and chief executive officer.

During 2015, the Company contracted with an entity owned by the chief technology officer for the provision of information technology services to the Company. In 2015, the cost of these services was approximately \$2,350.

NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Pursuant to a restated employment agreement, dated November 30, 2014, with the Company's president and chief executive officer, the Company agreed to employ him as president and chief executive officer for a term of three years, commencing January 1, 2014, and continuing on a year-to-year basis unless terminated by either party on not less than 90 days' notice prior to the expiration of the initial term or any one-year extension. The agreement provides for an annual salary of \$252,000, which may be increased, but not decreased, by the board or the compensation committee. The chief executive officer is entitled to a bonus if we meet or exceed performance criteria established by the compensation committee, and his is eligible to participate in any executive incentive plans which the Company may adopt.

EX-31.1 2 fl0k2015ex31i_quest.htm CERTIFICATION

EXHIBIT 31.1

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jon C. Scahill, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Quest Patent Research Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Dated: April 1, 2016

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill
Jon C. Scahill
Chief Executive and Financial Officer

EX-32.1 3 fl0k2015ex32i_quest.htm CERTIFICATION

EXHIBIT 32.1

**18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Quest Patent Research Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jon C. Scahill, chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: April 1, 2016

By: /s/ Jon C. Scahill
Jon C. Scahill
Chief Executive and Financial Officer